



more than fishy business

A literature review on the benefits of marine parks

By Dr. Melissa Nursey-Bray

January 2011



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

more than fishy business

by Dr. Melissa Nursey-Bray

January 2011

Dr. Melissa Nursey-Bray is a Senior Lecturer from the Department of Geography, Environment and Population at The University of Adelaide and a member of the World Congress of Protected Areas.

Dr. Nursey-Bray has 15 years of experience working in marine and fisheries management and produced this desk-top study voluntarily and in her own time.

For more information on Dr. Nursey-Bray please see <http://adelaide.academia.edu/MelissaNurseyBray/About> or <http://www.adelaide.edu.au/directory/melissa.nursey-bray>

Disclaimer: This report has been produced with the best available data at the time of publication. The report has been produced as academic research to enhance public understanding and demonstrate the depth of research conducted on how MPAs have performed. The author accepts no liability for any decisions made on the basis of this study and decisions made on the basis of this study are solely the responsibility of those who make them.

Contents

1. Introduction.....	4
2. Design.....	4
3. MPA Design.....	5
4. Integrating knowledge systems.....	7
5. Socio-economic conditions.....	7
6. Evaluation, monitoring and adaptation.....	8
7. Community based management.....	8
8. MPAs and fisheries.....	9
8.1. Extraction and conservation.....	9
8.2. Benefits to fisheries.....	9
9. Conclusion.....	17
10. Other references.....	18

List of Tables

1. IUCN levels of protection.....	6
2. Evaluating effectiveness.....	8
3. Examples of effectiveness of MPAs for fisheries.....	10

more than fishy business

1. Introduction

The concept of protected areas has evolved over time to become central to the idea of protection of our land and marine resources. Marine Protected Areas (hereafter MPAs) are designed to: (i) maintain essential ecological processes and life support systems; (ii) preserve genetic diversity; and (iii) ensure the sustainable utilization of species and ecosystems (IUCN 1994). They are officially defined by the IUCN as:

“An area of land and/or sea especially dedicated to the protection of biological diversity, and of natural and associated cultural resources, and managed through legal or other effective means” (IUCN, 1994).

This review provides an international overview of the design, principles, socio-economic conditions, and different models for MPAs. While it is acknowledged that the benefits of MPAs occur for many sectors and industries, this review explicitly highlights the benefits of MPAs to fishing.

2. Design

How decisions are made about what the MPA will protect forms the back bone of how it will operate in the future. Two elements are usually considered when designing an MPA. The first is how to classify the environmental and other values of the region so that protection is designed according to what is known as the Comprehensive, Adequate and Representative (CAR) system. CAR requires an MPA system that meets the criteria of being: (i) Comprehensive; (ii) Adequate; and (iii) Representative. These terms have been defined by the World Conservation Union (IUCN) World Commission on Protected Areas (WCPA) as part of the campaign to promote the establishment of a global representative system of marine protected areas (MPAs) as shown in Box 1.

Comprehensiveness

The MPA will include the full range of ecosystems recognised at an appropriate scale within and across each bioregion.

Adequacy

The MPA will have the required level of reservation to ensure the ecological viability and integrity of populations, species and communities.

Representative

Those marine areas that are selected for inclusion in MPAs should reasonably reflect the biotic diversity of the marine ecosystems from which they derive (ANZECC 1998).

Secondly, in order to achieve the best combination of each of these three elements, nations often pursue the establishment of a network of marine protected areas, thus capturing to the best possible extent the widest protection across all habitats and bioregions. Australia for example, has committed to the

National Representative System of Marine Protected Areas (NRSMPA) in line with the CAR principles. Specifically, the ANZECC guidelines on MPAs (ANZECC 1998, 4) determine that MPAs must be:

“Established especially for the conservation of biodiversity (consistent with the primary goal); is able to be classified into one or more of the six IUCN Protected Area Management Categories reflecting the values and objectives of the MPA; must have secure status which can only be revoked by a Parliamentary process; and contributes to the representativeness, comprehensiveness or adequacy of the national system”.

3. MPA Design

The design of MPAs is a complicated process based on considerations of size, use, anticipated and current threats and impacts, and the socio-economic profile and environmental characteristics of the region (Kelleher 1999).

The design of MPAs is also complicated by the fact that there are a number of issues inherent in marine management not experienced in terrestrial management. These include the following: (i) having a high degree of interconnectedness of the marine environment; (ii) the three dimensional aspects of management; (iii) marine areas are not static over time; (iv) sampling marine systems is very difficult; (v) there are many ownership issues in the marine environment; and overall (vi) a lack of knowledge about the marine system.

Jones and Carpenter (2009) in a paper on the design of MPAs for the UK also highlight the importance of providing ecological linkages between MPAs as part of a coherent network of MPAs overall. A MPA that is designed to be ecologically coherent is one that: (i) interacts and supports the wider environment; (ii) maintains the processes, functions and structures of their intended protected features across their natural range, (iii) functions synergistically as a whole, within which the individual protected sites benefit from each other to achieve the two objectives above and (iv) may additionally be designed to be resilient for changing conditions (Ardron et al. 2008).

Part of developing such a network is also determining what the ‘gaps’ are between MPAs. The focus here is on the parameters such as habitat representativity and maximum distance between MPAs (Jones and Carpenter 2009, Gaines et al. 2010). Known also as the ‘rules of thumb’ for MPA design principles, these principles include (McLeod et al. 2009, 362-370):

1. Bigger is better and protected areas should be at least 10-20km in diameter
2. Simple shapes (squares, rectangles) to minimize ‘edge’ effects
3. Units no more than 15-20 km apart
4. Protect at least 3 examples of each habitat (representation, replication, spread, catastrophe minimisation) – best practice
5. Select variety of temperature regimes to minimise future climate warming impacts
6. Protect nursery areas, spawning aggregations, areas of high uniqueness & diversity
7. Maintain functional groups (predators, herbivores, detritivores)

As Jones and Carpenter (2009, 743) note, “this approach to network design is legally and politically more defensible as it is scientifically more realistic.”

MPAs are usually designed according to two basic frameworks. Firstly, a multiple-use marine park is one that within its boundaries includes a suite of zones, each offering varying degrees of protection. Higher order levels of protection are usually zones which prohibit various activities that would be perceived as destructive to the special values of that area. These zones are normally known as either “sanctuary zones” or “no-take reserves” as the aim is to prevent fishing activity. Lower order levels of protection would normally allow a range of activities within its region, including fishing, tourist activity and other uses.

Secondly, a no-take MPA system can either be a single area or a suite/network of areas that are only no-take and are declared specifically to create high level environmental protection for that region. For example, the state of Victoria, Australia, has established a system of no-take MPAs, whereas in South Australia, there is a series of multiple-use MPAs.

Importantly, in order to determine what level of protection will be declared, whether within a strictly no take or a multiple-use model, the IUCN Criteria for protection are used as a guide to delineating protection regimes. Table 2 below reproduces the definitions for the different IUCN Criteria.

Category	Description
Category I	Protected area managed mainly for science or wilderness protection (Strict Nature Reserve/Wilderness Area)
Category II	Protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation (National Park)
Category III	Protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features (Natural Monument)
Category IV	Protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention (Habitat/Species Management Area)
Category V	Protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation (Protected Landscape/Seascape)
Category VI	Protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems (Managed Resource Protected Area). (IUCN, 1994)

Table 1: IUCN levels of protection

When designating the type of criteria and zone matrix for the MPA in question, there will be two issues to consider: the legal status of the MPA, and the approach. In Australia, ecosystem-based management is another framework, which is being used to manage fisheries, but is also implemented in principle for MPAs. In this context, resolving what the key boundaries will be is crucial. Establishing the baseline conditions of the areas chosen for protection is another factor.

Foley et al. (2010, 955) suggest that ecosystem-based marine spatial planning (MSP) is a process that “informs the spatial distribution of activities in the ocean so that existing and emerging uses can be maintained, use conflicts reduced and ecosystem health and services protected and sustained for future generations” They argue the need to move away from MPA design that takes a sector by sector approach, to one such as marine spatial planning (MSP) that emphasises ecological, economic, governance and social dimensions, thus bringing planning together in an integrated way.

The advantages of this model are that it balances the diversity of human activities with an ocean’s capacity to provide ecosystem services; it incorporates multiple dimensions in the planning and supports

management that is coordinated at ecosystem as well as political scales.

The need to deal with context and uncertainty in MPA design is also an important theme in the literature. Contextual factors include geomorphology and biogeography, as well as type, distribution, frequency and intensity of existing and anticipated ocean uses. Similarly all ecosystems face uncertainty as part of the complex interactions between systems. While the nature of uncertainty means the implications of ecosystem change is not fully understood, the nature of human-resource interactions and the additional overlay of uncertainty created by climate change, means that MPA managers need to find ways of incorporating the uncertainty principle into their designs. This may mean the declaration of larger areas, or a more diffuse and adaptable set of management measures.

4. Integrating knowledge systems

It is important to incorporate different knowledge systems when planning, designing and implementing MPAs. For example, Scholz et al. (2004) used participatory socio-economic analysis to draw on and include fishermen's knowledge in the marine protected area planning in California.

The advantage of local ecological knowledge – or if dealing with Indigenous groups, traditional ecological knowledge - is that it is site specific, and can inform processes at a local scale (Nurse-Bray 2009, Nurse-Bray and Rist 2009). Such knowledge will often be a mixture of local, experiential and scientific knowledge, so brings dimensions to the management arrangements that otherwise would not be there.

Olsson and Folke (2001) highlight the benefits of local ecological knowledge in a case study of Lake Racken. Key to ensuring effective incorporation of different knowledge systems is how institutions behave and operate. As Jentoft (2004) argues, 'institution' is a concept with many definitions, yet it is through institutions that management operates.

The idea of how institutions operate is a central theme in the literature partly because the development of governance arrangements such as co-management has occurred worldwide in an effort to bring interests such as fishing on board, or as Noble (2000, 69-70) notes: "Co-management systems consider institutional arrangements in fisheries management as a way of decentralising resource management decisions and improving participatory democracy and compliance".

5. Socio-economic conditions

A key lesson from implementing MPAs is that while they provide biological benefits, the development and their ongoing operation are contingent on the socio-economic situation of the stakeholders within the region.

The socio-economic and cultural context within which an MPA will be implemented is an important determining factor in its viability. As Banks and Skilleter (2010) note, the success of MPAs is contingent on political commitment and agency leadership, as well as greater stakeholder involvement. Much is written about this, often focussing on the extent to which the public may be disenfranchised by the implementation of a protected area. Key themes include the issue of compensation, how to negotiate and resolve stakeholder conflict and how to build or forge socio-economic benefit from the declaration of an MPA. As Cinner et al. (2010) note, in using Kenya as a case study example, in a world facing increasing food insecurity, there is a clear imperative on managers to address socio-economic issue in their policies.

Economic viability and livelihoods are key themes within this debate (Grafton and Kompas 2005). Working out how to resolve conflict is another key issue. For example there are often conflicts between fisheries and endangered species conservation interests. There are many examples of this, such as that

presented by Rauschayer, Wittmer and Berghofer (2008) of conflict in the Czech republic, Italy, Portugal, Germany and France between proponents of aquaculture and those campaigning for otter preservation in marine , estuarine and coastal protected areas.

6. Evaluation, monitoring and adaptation

In order to ascertain whether MPAs are working, and how successful they are in achieving their objectives, the implementation of monitoring, evaluation and adaptation frameworks is essential. Evaluations need to demonstrate 'results'. Increasingly, evaluations of MPAs have been based on an outcomes rather than activity based approach. While the need for evaluation is indubitable, given the wide variety of MPAS, which vary in size, management and zones across the world, it is important to recognise that no one size fits all (Day 2008). There are many other challenges. For example, where evaluations have been done, they have tended to focus on the bio-physical conditions in specific areas, rather than undertaking a holistic and inter-disciplinary investigation. Many evaluations rely on academics or research institutions and very few by management staff who have in fact been actively involved in the processes over time. Adaptive management is another area which managers within MPAs are trialling, especially in light of the uncertainty and fluidity surrounding climate change projections; the need for management in turn to be adaptable is becoming an important part of the discourse about marine management. Adaptive management can be defined as " managing according to a plan by which decisions are made and modified as a function of what is known and learned about the system, including information about the effect of previous management actions" (Parma et al. 1998).

Evaluations are important as they enhance the capacity for adaptive management, improve planning, promote accountability and encourage appropriate resource allocation. Jones (2000) outlines seven steps for evaluating effectiveness. These are presented in Table 2 below:

Table 2: Evaluating Effectiveness

Step 1: Identify management objectives
Step 2: Define key desired outcomes
Step 3: Identify performance indicators
Step 4: Undertake monitoring
Step 5: Periodically assess results
Step 6: Report findings and recommendations
Step 7: Adjust management as necessary

Day (2008) in a case study of the lessons learned from the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park region notes there are a number of lessons to be learnt from monitoring MPAs. Starting small is one of them and ensuring that a wide range of methods are considered, then applied appropriate to the situation, is crucial. Ensuring there are opportunities for participatory or community monitoring will enhance the legitimacy of the program. Adopting a precautionary approach is useful. In other words don't wait for the 'perfect' science before taking management action. Monitor management as well as the MPA itself.

7. Community based management and MPAs.

One of the key themes in MPAs is the extent to which they can provide the basis for community-based management of marine resources. Research focuses on what are the successful examples of community driven and managed MPAs. Most of the literature in this area derives from work on co-management or Commons Theory. Specifically, the key features of a successful and enduring community management program feature the following design principles (from Ostrom 1990):

1. Clearly designed geographic boundaries.
2. The development and enforcement of rules that limit resource use.
3. Congruence between rules and local conditions (i.e. scale and appropriateness).
4. Resource users have rights to make, enforce and change the rules.
5. Individuals affected by the rules can participate in changing the rules.
6. Monitoring of the resources.
7. The presence of accountability mechanisms for those monitoring the rules.
8. Sanctions that increase with repeat offences or severity of offences.
9. The presence of conflict resolution mechanisms.
10. The degree to which they are nested within other institutions.

8. MPAs and Fisheries

Existing experience suggests that more work needs to be done to explore how fishers, tour operators and other affected parties can be part of the MPA process as early as possible so they may all better understand and access the benefits of an MPA.

Studies have also shown that overall, uncertainty about the ecological values of an MPA need not be a reason not to proceed – experience shows that an imperfect MPA has more benefit than none at all.

This review also found that MPAs should be managed from both bottom-up and top-down approaches with a clearly articulated set of objectives and associated regulatory and monitoring programs so as to assess management effectiveness.

Moreover, management needs to consider adaptive management so it retains flexibility and the ability to amend/change over time, and as new and other management challenges arise (Parks 8 (2) 1998).

8.1. Extraction and Conservation

Perhaps the most dominant theme in the literature is the discussion around the tension between the need for extraction and conservation in MPAs. Biodiversity and conservation goals are the initial drivers for creating MPAs. However, these areas are also (unsurprisingly) areas of the highest resource extraction. Extractive industries include gas and oil exploration and drilling, diving, recreational fishing, commercial fishing and various forms of tourism. In particular the main conflict over MPAs is located between advocates of MPAs and commercial fishers (Kittinger et al. 2010). A fear that equity, rights, and livelihoods will be compromised underpin core arguments by fishers that oppose the declaration of MPAs.

8.2. Benefits to fisheries

A number of benefits accrue to fisheries from the establishment of MPAs. MPAs contribute to the maintenance and restoration of biological diversity and abundance of species, including fisheries. Table 3 is a summary of some of the research into the utility of MPAs, and overall these studies highlight that while benefits are not always evenly distributed across all MPAs, evidence is clearly showing that abundance, biomass, economic value, habitat and migration routes are all enhanced by the declaration of MPAs. This review also shows that time is an important determinant in MPA success, with at least 3-5 years duration needed before clear benefits are accrued. Studies also show that networks of MPAs, and MPAs that are larger and closer to each other, will yield higher economic, fisheries and biodiversity outputs overall.

Table 3: Examples of effectiveness of MPAs for fisheries

Initiative	Outcomes	Citation
<p>Nabq Managed Reserve Protected Area, Gulf of Aqaba Network of no-take zones (NTZ), established 1995 to promote the sustainable management of fin fish stocks Surveys undertaken of molluscs and echinoderms across NTZ and adjacent fishing zones (2000 – 2002).</p>	<p>Pooled data from 3 years showed significantly higher abundances of <i>Tridanca</i> and <i>tectus dentatus</i> in NTZ with a greater abundance in reef edge zone also showed greater frequency relating to size range and frequency.</p>	<p>Ashworth <i>et. al.</i> (2004)</p>
<p>Spiny Lobster – <i>Janus edwardsii</i> –</p>	<p>Increased abundance and greater biomass in reserves in New Zealand and Tasmania.</p>	<p>Edgar and Barrett (1999), Kelly <i>et. al.</i> (2000)</p>
<p>Abalone, scallops and clams.</p>	<p>Showed increase in abundance in areas closed to fishing.</p>	<p>Rice <i>et. al.</i> (1989), Edgar and Barrett (1999), Wallace (1999), Murawski <i>et. al.</i> (2000), Rogers-Bennett and Pearse (2001)</p>
<p>Small scale annual closure of gastropod mollusc fishing in Chile.</p>	<p>Increased CPUE when fishing reopened, and mean size and CPUE of three exploited invertebrates was higher in a managed area than outside.</p>	<p>Castilla and Fernandez <i>et. al.</i> (1998)</p>
<p>Nabq Managed Reserve Protected Area case study of 8 families of reef fish, at 3 m depth across NTZ, and for a limited direction in adjacent fish zones.</p>	<p>Effects of NTZ changed with water depth as most fishing occurred in shallow water. Reef fish – 7 of the 8 species increased in abundance in NTZ. Evidence of spill-over found and in 6/8 species significant drop in abundance was found as moved away from centre of NTZ. “spill-over may occur to an extent and in a direction depending on the trophic group and fishing intensity”.</p>	<p>Ashworth and Ormond (2005)</p>
<p>There are 4600 MPAs with some level of protection.</p>	<p>Of these only 0.08 are no-take.</p>	<p>Banks and Skilleter (2009)</p>
<p>Densities of macrobenthic invertebrates and macroalga in 4 Tasmania NTZ MPAs were monitored over 10 years, after MPA establishment.</p>	<p>Factors affected were mean size, abundance of rock lobster, and abundance of prey such as urchins and abalone “Our results reflect the importance of long-term monitoring and the value of MPAs when sufficiently large as reference areas for determining and understanding ecosystem effects of fishing in the absence of historical baseline data”.</p>	<p>Shears <i>et. al.</i> (2006)</p>
<p>Assessed effect of NTZ on reef fish assemblages in the north West Mediterranean – it is a 3 year survey, that modelled diversity and abundance within the assemblage.</p>	<p>Apart from small fish individuals, inside/outside differences in species abundance were significantly affected by MPA estates; abundance increased on average in the reserve. Results were more significant for large to medium sized fish. Benefits of MPAs to fished species do accrue but do not apply to all species at all times and are highly variable amongst taxa. Benefits are clear, though, after 6 years of MPA establishment.</p>	<p>Claudet, <i>et. al.</i> (2006)</p>

<p>Measured reef fish spill-over from NTZ in Itacolomi Reef, eastern Brazil, estimating biomass and body size by the reserve boundary before 2001 and after 2002 – 2005 protection. Replication with some unprotected sites, and according to 3 distance categories – 0 – 400, 400 – 800 and 800 – 1200 m. Habitat measures taken.</p>	<p>Biomass of major fish resources low at first but increased by 2003. Results offset by illegal poaching so suggest MPAs also need ongoing monitoring and incorporation of socio-economic aspects to be fully effective.</p>	<p>Francini-Filho, and Moura (2008)</p>
<p>Review of MPAs which also reported on project that trialled BACIP analysis (Before – After – Control – Impact) on 3 marine reserves.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Tsitsikamma National Park, South Africa MPA established 1964, densities of commercially important fish (sparid) was 42 times higher than in nearby fishing grounds * Scandoli Nature Reserve in Corsica – densities of 11 fish species were 5 times higher than fishing site after 13 years protection. * Columbretes Island Marine Reserve Spain; experimental CPUE found stocks were 6 – 58 times greater than unfished sites including the Pen Shell being 12 times greater in abundance than in 100 ha of no-take. <i>Other examples cited in article</i> * 7 fold increase in larger predatory fish after protection for 1 year in the Apo Island Philippines. * New Zealand's temperate rocky reef reserves protected for 5 – 20 years found snapper were greater than the minimum legal size and are 14 times more abundant than in fishing areas. * After 5 years, 35% of blue cod on Long Island Kakomuhua Reserve were 33 cm vs. less than 1% in the nearby area. * Maria Island Reserve, Tasmania, lung fish were more than 3 times more common after 6 years protection. * Everglades, Florida, modal size of grey snapper was 25 – 35 cm larger than in exploited areas. * Edmunds Underwater Park USA, after more than 20 years protection, cod produced 20 times more eggs than in adjacent fishing area. * Copper rock fish are 100 times more abundant and 70% of biomass of fish in Kenya's Mombasa Marine National Park are reproductively active compared with 20% in nearby fishing areas * Fiji clam closures resulted in a dramatic increase in the number and size of clams were 13 times more abundance in just 3 years. After 5 years, 19 times abundant. Other possible effects- being able to continue traditional practice. * St Lucia stocks of 5 families of exploited reef fish tripled in biomass in reserves within 5 years of protection. * De Hoop Marine Reserve South Africa, experimental fishing – CPUE, order of magnitude shows that sites are affected after 7 years. * Florida Keys: densities of yellowbill snapper increased by 15 times in sanctuaries areas after 4 years. * Cape Canaveral, Florida, 40 Km square was closed in 1962 due to the Kennedy Space centre: Effects on recreational fishing within 20 km of stretch of coast around the reserve, 62% of recorded breaking black drum, 54% of record breaking red rum and 50% of spotted sea trout found. * MPAs can protect migration routes – Blue Crab in Chesapeake Bay, USA, only spawning areas protected within reserves, and its deep water spawning migration route, for females could help survive/ enhance sustainability of fishing; the spill-over effects of juvenile/adults, export of egg/larvae, and migration routes 	<p>Gell and Roberts (2003) (good review of many MPAs)</p>

Chile - 3 year closure for squat lobster.	Increased biomass, re-expansion of species into area 50 km into areas previously depleted largely due to larval dispersion from MPAs – conclusion here is that MPAs should be relatively close or connected.	Roa, R & Bahamonde (1993)
Study to quantify the number and biomass of individuals annually spilling over from an MPA and their contribution to the local fishery catches. Decade of tag recapture (1997 – 2007) for the lobster on Colombretes Islands Marine reserve.	Showed that during 8 - 17 years protection, harvested spill-over offset the loss of yield resulting from reduction of fishing grounds, producing mean annual net benefit of 20% of species: what they did not make up for in numbers they did in weight as individuals were much larger.	Goni <i>et. al.</i> (2010), Goni <i>et. al.</i> (2001, 2001a, 2006)
Six Mediterranean MPAs reviewed.	Effect of reserve: evidence a higher value of fish species richness, abundance and biomass compared with inside to outside.	Harmelin-Vivien <i>et. al.</i> (2008)
Economic assessment of benefits of networks of marine conservation zones in UK offshore waters, used to help process inform the UK Marine Coastal Access Bill.	Estimate benefits range from designations of between 10.2 billion pounds and 23.5 billion pounds in present values and applying a 3.5% discount rate.	Hussain <i>et. al.</i> (2010), Sanichirico <i>et. al.</i> (2002)
Survey of fisher views about MPAs in UK.	Found fishers held a diversity of views around and that it would be worth talking to fishers and working with them in development of MPAs.	Jones (2007)
Looked at persistence and growth rate for small populations to determine conditions that will yield greater growth rate.	Found that minimal fraction of habitats needed to be off-limits to fishing, and it depends on the birth and death rate in habitats and fisheries. Suggested that MPAs are appropriate for mobile species that have (i) small movement rates, (ii) high birth rates to fishing rates (iii) large habitat sizes.	Malvadkar and Hastings (2008)
Looking at whether species that change sex are benefited by MPAs.	Meta analysis of ratio of fish abundances inside versus outside MPAs show initially not much difference. However reserves, over more than 10 years, show that female first sex changers considerably benefit from MPAs.	Molloy, <i>et. al.</i> (2008)
Lyme Bay, UK July 2008, 206km square declared.	For it to be win-win, MPA must be based on long-term goal based on thorough evaluation of the environmental, social and economic values for marine biodiversity.	Rees <i>et. al.</i> (2001)
Spatial analysis of the benefits of Medes Island Marine Reserve.	Effects of trends reflecting habitat heterogeneity, spatial restructuring of data on spatial predictions of fish catch per unit effort. CPUE of total fish, and length increased closer to the reserve, especially the common pandora and the striped red mullet.	Stelzenmuller, <i>et. al.</i> (2007)
Suggest fishing should be closed in all spawning areas and at least 50% of adjacent areas:case study of Leopard Groper.	High non-consumptive benefits also accrue and due to divers coming to watch groper significant economic benefits have accrued in the local community. Adaptive management schemes could well provide a way to incorporate recurring information and shifting baselines.	Wielgus <i>et. al.</i> (2008)
Surveys of 10 sites inside and outside of Bahaman marine reserve over 2.5 years. Investigating whether reductions in macroalga cover associated with recovery of herbivorous parrot fish within reserve can help recovery.	Increases in coral cover; and macro algal cover negatively correlated with change in total coral over time.	Mumby and Harborne (2010)

<p>Project to count shark populations in no go zones in GBR.</p>	<p>Densities of white tip sharks twice as high in sanctuary zoned reefs as on general use zoned reefs; twice as high on sink reefs and grey reef sharks four times more abundant on sanctuary zoned reefs as on general managed use zoned reefs. 1.5 times more common coral trout on sanctuary zoned reefs. Differences reflect real difference in fishing effort across zones.</p>	<p>Ayling and Choat (2008)</p>
<p>Influence of zoning on fish communities of deep reef bases of southern GBRMPA. 2009 survey of 16 pairs of large discrete deep water reef bases in southern GBRMPA.</p>	<p>Zoning had a significant effect on the observed <i>MaxN</i>, but this was detectable mainly in habitats dominated by corals. In this habitat type, 'target' species were about 1.5 times, and unfished species about 1.9 times, as abundant in the sanctuary zones as the same groups in the general managed use zones. Individual species showed distinct responses, with grey reef sharks (taken as by-catch) having higher <i>MaxN</i> in a range of habitat types on sanctuary zoned reefs, and the Venus tusk fish having lower <i>MaxN</i> on sanctuary zoned. The prized deep-water coral trout, red emperor and red-throat emperor had higher <i>MaxN</i> values around the sanctuary zoned reef in coral dominated habitats, but not by large margins. For every ten BRUVS sets, there were about eleven coral trout, six red emperor and five grey reef sharks more in sanctuary zones than in similar coral dominated habitats of general managed use zones.</p>	<p>Cappo <i>et. al.</i> (2008)</p>
<p>Benthic (video quadrats) and associated fish communities (underwater visual censuses) in a well-enforced reserve in the Bahamas.</p>	<p>Robust reserve effects were limited to <i>Montastraea</i> reefs. The reserve supported an average of $\approx 15\%$ more fish species per site compared to outside the reserve. This pattern was particularly driven by more large-bodied grouper, damselfish, and butterfly fish species inside the reserve. Increases in fish biomass and differences in community structure inside the reserve were limited to large-bodied groupers.</p>	<p>Harborne <i>et. al.</i> (2008)</p>
<p>Seasonal surveys (Autumn/Spring 2007) on two pairs of discrete deepwater shoals in the southern Great Barrier Reef. Within each pair, one shoal was re-zoned 'Green' (closed to all fishing) in 2004 while the other 'Blue' (open to fishing) remained open to fishing.</p>	<p>Analyses showed that there was a clear effect of zoning, where the mean abundance index of species primarily targeted by fishing in the blue zone were half those of the same species in green zones that were closed to fishing in 2004. Abundance ratios of these species in green and blue zones varied from 1.1 to 11.9 (geometric mean = 2.8) and ratios of 5 of the most targeted species were significantly greater in green than blue zones including red emperor (<i>Lutjanus sebae</i>), red throat emperor (<i>Lethrinus miniatus</i>), venus tuskfish (<i>Choerodon venustus</i>), spangled emperor (<i>Lethrinus nebulosus</i>) and golden spot hogfish (<i>Bodianus perditio</i>).</p>	<p>Stowar M, <i>et. al.</i> (2008)</p>
<p>Impact of MPAs on Crown of Thorns Starfish predation.</p>	<p>Relative frequency of outbreaks on reefs that were open to fishing was 3.75 times more than that on no-take reefs in the mid-shelf region of the GBR, where most outbreaks occur.</p>	<p>Sweatman H (2008)</p>

<p>Rottneest Island, Western Australia.</p>	<p>Surveys of spiny lobster (<i>Panulirus cygnus</i>) populations in shallow waters surrounding Rottneest Island in Western Australia revealed much higher levels of density, biomass and egg production in no-take than in fished areas. Density of lobsters was ~34 times higher in the sanctuary, and density of lobsters above minimum legal size around 50 times higher than in other areas around the island where recreational fishing is allowed. Mean carapace length (CL), total biomass and egg production of lobsters in the sanctuary zone were significantly higher than in adjacent fished areas. Large individuals (≥ 100 mm CL), especially large males, were found almost exclusively within the sanctuary.</p>	<p>Babcock, <i>et. al.</i> (2007)</p>
<p>Nekton diversity and community composition in subtropical eastern Australia.</p>	<p>No statistical significant difference was detected in species richness between the areas however species evenness was significantly lower in the only non-reserve site impacted by commercial net fishing. Mean size of nekton was found to be significantly greater in the marine reserves compared to non-reserves but no statistical significant difference was found in the density of nekton between the study sites.</p>	<p>Pillans <i>et. al.</i> (2007)</p>
<p>Philippines - examined spatial patterns of abundance of fish across two ~900 m long sections of coral reef slope at each of two small Philippine islands (Apo and Balicasag). One section sampled the entire length of a no-take reserve and extended 200-400 m outside the two lateral reserve boundaries. The other section, without a reserve, was a control. The reserves had had 20 (Apo) and 15 (Balicasag) years of protection when sampled in 2002.</p>	<p>Abundance of target fish declined sharply 50 m outside the ARNB Density of sedentary target fish declined 2-6 times faster than density of highly mobile and mobile target fish across the ARNB.</p>	<p>Abesamis <i>et. al.</i> (2006)</p>
<p>Study designed to examine potential of no take reserves to re-establish predatory interactions and rocky reef interactions, Mediterranean.</p>	<p>Protected locations supported higher density and size of the most effective fish preying on sea urchins (the sea breams <i>Diplodus sargus</i> and <i>D. vulgaris</i>) than unprotected locations. Density of sea urchins (<i>Paracentrotus lividus</i> and <i>Arbacia lixula</i>) was lower at protected than at unprotected locations. These results suggest that (1) depletion and size reduction of predatory fish caused by fishing alter patterns of predation on sea urchins, and that (2) fishing bans (e.g., within no-take marine reserves) may re-establish lost interactions among strongly interactive species in temperate rocky reefs with potential community-wide effects.</p>	<p>Guidetti (2006)</p>
<p>No-take versus partial protection: long-term data (1977–2005) from before and after park establishment, on the abundance of spiny lobster <i>Jasus edwardsii</i> from fixed sites in a no-take marine park and a recreationally fished marine park.</p>	<p>Lobster densities were comparable between both marine parks prior to park establishment, but the response of lobster populations differed markedly following protection. On average, legal-sized lobster were eleven times more abundant and biomass 25 times higher in the no-take marine park following its establishment, while in the partially protected marine park there has been no significant change in lobster numbers.</p>	<p>Shears <i>et. al.</i> (2006)</p>

<p>Apo Island, Philippines: density-dependent export of a planktivorous reef fish, <i>Naso vlamingii</i>.</p>	<p>Mean density of <i>N. vlamingii</i> increased threefold inside the reserve between 1983 and 2003. Modal size in the reserve increased from 35 to 45 cm total length over 20 years of protection. In addition, both density and modal size increased outside the reserve close to (200–300 m), but not farther from (300–500 m), the reserve boundary over the 20 years of reserve protection.</p>	<p>Abesamis and Russ (2005)</p>
<p>Manipulations of reserve status, and yield estimates, were made at two Philippine islands over two decades. Twenty-five percent and ten percent, respectively, of the coral reefs at Sumilon and Apo islands were made no-take reserves in 1974 and 1982.</p>	<p>Biomass of target fish increased inside the no-take reserves 3- to 4.5 fold over 9–18 years. Biomass did not increase outside each reserve. Protection of the Sumilon reserve ceased in 1984. Biomass of targeted fish in the reserve and trap and gillnet catches of these fish declined by 42.7% and 40%, respectively, by 1985. The reserve was re-protected from 1987 to 1991 and from 1995 to 2001. Fish biomass increased in the reserve by 27.2%.</p>	<p>Alcala <i>et. al.</i> (2005)</p>
<p>Response of snapper <i>Pagrus auratus</i> to the establishment of no-take status in a marine reserve around the Poor Knights Islands in north eastern New Zealand.</p>	<p>Snapper showed significant increases in abundance and biomass relative to fished control locations. This was particularly apparent for large snapper (>270 mm), whose numbers increased rapidly to levels 7.4 times higher in the final survey compared to the initial pre-reserve survey, and total snapper biomass increased by 818%. There was no significant increase in the abundance, biomass or size of snapper at the reference locations over this time.</p>	<p>Denny <i>et. al.</i> (2004)</p>
<p>Larger biomass of targeted reef fish in no-take marine reserves on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia.</p>	<p>Densities of <i>Plectropomus</i> spp. and <i>Lutjanus carponotatus</i>, both targeted by fisheries, were much higher in protected zones than fished zones in two of the three island groups. <i>Plectropomus</i> spp. were 3.6 and 2.3 times more abundant in protected than fished zones of the Palm and Whitsunday island groups. <i>L. carponotatus</i> were 2.3 and 2.2 times more abundant in protected zones than fished zones of the Whitsunday and Keppel island groups.</p>	<p>Evans and Russ (2004)</p>
<p>Sumilon and Apo Islands, Philippines, 1983 - 2000.</p>	<p>The biomass of large predatory fish was still increasing exponentially after 9 and 18 years of protection at Sumilon and Apo reserves, respectively.</p>	<p>Russ and Alcala (2004)</p>
<p>Sumilon and Apo Islands.</p>	<p>Underwater visual census done re biomass of <i>Acanthuridae</i> (surgeonfish) and <i>Carangidae</i> (jacks). Showed that two families of reef fish that account for 40–75% of the fishery yield from Apo Island, Philippines, tripled in a well-protected no-take reserve over 18 years (1983–2001). Biomass of these families did not change significantly over the same period at a site open to fishing.</p>	<p>Russ <i>et. al.</i> (2004)</p>

<p>Quantitative estimates of density and biomass of coral trout, <i>Plectropomus</i> spp., the major target of the hook and line fisheries on the Great Barrier Reef (GBR), Australia, on inshore fringing reefs of the Palm and Whitsunday Island groups, central GBR, are provided for 3-4 years before (1983-1984), and 12-13 years after (1999-2000) the establishment of no-take reserves in 1987.</p>	<p>Density and biomass of coral trout increased significantly (by factors of 5.9 and 6.3 in the Palm Islands, and 4.0 and 6.2 in the Whitsunday Islands) in the reserve sites, but not the fished sites, between 1983-1984 and 1999-2000. In 1999-2000, density and biomass of coral trout and a secondary target of the fisheries, <i>Lutjanus carponotatus</i>, were significantly higher in the protected zones than in the fished zones at both island groups.</p>	<p>Williamson <i>et. al.</i> (2004)</p>
<p>Do marine reserves work?</p>	<p>The results of 89 separate studies show that, on average, with the exception of invertebrate biomass and size, values for all four biological measures are significantly higher inside reserves compared to outside (or after reserve establishment vs. before) when evaluated for both the overall communities and by each functional group within these communities (carnivorous fishes, herbivorous fishes, planktivorous fishes/invertebrate eaters, and invertebrates). Results also show that the relative impacts of reserves, such as the proportional differences in density or biomass, are independent of reserve size, suggesting that the effects of marine reserves increase directly rather than proportionally with the size of a reserve.</p>	<p>Halpern (2003)</p>
<p>Compared assemblages of targeted fish from coral reef habitats in sanctuary (no-fishing) and recreationally fished zones of a marine protected area (MPA).</p>	<p>Found significantly greater biomass, size, and abundance of legal-sized <i>lethrinids</i> (the most targeted family in the region) in sanctuary zones, but no differences in other families/genera. Cover of <i>Acropora</i> coral and hard substrate differed between zones at some regions but differences were inconsistent. There were no significant differences in algal cover between zones. Possible that recreational fishing pressure may have capacity to deplete fish populations below that of adjacent protected areas. The effect of recreational fishing in coral reef habitats may be greater than previously thought.</p>	<p>Westera <i>et. al.</i> (2003)</p>
<p>Mediterranean hake (<i>Merluccius merluccius</i>).</p>	<p>A marine reserve could be highly beneficial for this species. Study shows benefits from reserves not just for overexploited stocks of low-mobility species, but also (to a lesser extent) for underexploited stocks and high-mobility species. Greatly increased resilience to overfishing is also found in the majority of cases.</p>	<p>Apostolaki <i>et. al.</i> (2002)</p>
<p>Marine reserves in Florida (United States) and St. Lucia.</p>	<p>Within 5 years of creation, a network of small reserves in St. Lucia increased adjacent catches of artisanal fishers by between 46 % and 90%, depending on the type of gear the fishers used. In Florida, reserve zones in the Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge have supplied increasing numbers of world record sized fish to adjacent recreational fisheries since the 1970s.</p>	<p>Roberts <i>et. al.</i> (2001)</p>
<p>MPAs and benefits to fisheries.</p>	<p>Report that sums up results of empirical studies of marine reserves to assess the potential benefits of protection for fish populations. Demonstrate that the overall abundance of fishes inside reserves is, on average, 3.7 times higher than outside reserve boundaries.</p>	<p>Mosquera <i>et. al.</i> (2000)</p>
<p>New Caledonia: commercial fish communities and <i>Chaetodontidae</i>, sampled before fishing prohibition and after five years of protection, were compared.</p>	<p>Found significant increases in the species richness, density and biomass of the major exploited fish families (<i>Serranidae</i>, <i>Lutjanidae</i>, <i>Lethrinidae</i>, <i>Mullidae</i>, <i>Labridae</i>, <i>Scaridae</i>, <i>Siganidae</i> and <i>Acanthuridae</i>) and also of the <i>Chaetodontidae</i>.</p>	<p>Wantiez <i>et. al.</i> (1997)</p>

<p>Estimated the abundance and size of fishes by trapping and visual census on fringing reefs in Barbados: 5 reefs within the 2.2 km of the Barbados Marine Reserve (BMR) and 8 reefs in the non-reserve (NR) area within 4 km of the reserve boundaries.</p>	<p>The abundance of large, trappable size fish of all species combined was higher in the BMR than in the NR, but abundance of small, non trappable fish did not differ between BMR and NR. BMR does protect the fish community from fishing mortality and that emigration rates are generally low.</p>	<p>Rakitin and Kramer (1996)</p>
<p>Caribbean marine reserves -coral-reef fish communities of Saba Manne Park (Netherlands Antilles) and Hol Chan Marine Reserve (Ambergns Caye, Belize) in the Caribbean to assess differences between them and adjacent ecologically similar sites after 4 years of protection from fishing.</p>	<p>45% of target species commonly recorded in visual censuses in Belize (23 % of all recorded target species), and 59% at Saba (22 %) showed greater abundance size or biomass in shallow protected sites. These differences are considered primarily to reflect increased survivorship with the cessation of fishing mortality. The greatest estimated biomasses were observed in locally protected snapper (<i>Lutlanidae</i>) in Belize and Saba and grunt (<i>Haemuhdae</i>) at Saba. In both protected areas the local stock of visible demersal target fishes 19 to 20 times greater in biomass and 22 to 35 times greater in commercial value than in fished sites.</p>	<p>Polunin and Roberts (1993)</p>
<p>Great Barrier Reef Marine Park</p>	<p>Before and after study shows that while there is variation between regions and cross shelf locations due to differences in ecology and intensity of exploitation, that (i) no take zones in the GBR benefit fish stocks with up to 2 fold increases in numbers and size of fish on many no take reefs, (ii) that no take reefs generally have larger/older fish for the target species and that (iii) ecosystem effects occur where no take zones are benefiting overall fish populations, not just those individual fish populations in no take areas.</p>	<p>McCook et al (2010)</p>
<p>Tasmania</p>	<p>Densities of macrobenthic invertebrates and macro-algae in four Tasmanian 'no-take' marine protected areas (MPAs) were monitored annually for 10 years following MPA establishment, with changes compared to those at external (fished) reference locations. Fishing substantially influenced the population characteristics of many species, including altering the mean size and abundance of rock lobsters and the abundance of prey species such as urchins and abalone. Strong declines in abundances of purple urchins and abalone within the largest MPA at Maria Island indicate likely indirect effects related to protection of predators from fishing. The two smallest MPAs (ca. 1 km coastal span) generated few detectable changes. Our results affirm the importance of long-term monitoring and the value of MPAs, when sufficiently large, as reference areas for determining and understanding ecosystem effects of fishing in the absence of historical baseline.</p>	<p>Barrett, N., Buxton, C., Edgar, G (2009)</p>

9. Conclusion

Marine protected areas are proven to conserve marine life whilst providing a major boost to fisheries and other industries such as marine tourism. This review has highlighted that if MPAs are designed well, and implemented in accordance with international standards they reap multiple environmental and socio-economic benefits.

Experience also shows that successful implementation of MPAs and their ongoing maintenance will only occur with the full participation of the affected community.

This review shows that across the world MPAs have in fact acted as effective fisheries management tools in temperate and tropical regions. The establishment of MPAS is indeed 'much more than fishy business', and provides real social and economic benefits that go beyond biodiversity outcomes.

Appendix 1: Other useful references

- Abesamis RA, Russ G (2005) Density dependant spillover from a marine reserve: long term evidence. *Ecological Applications*, 15(5), 2005, pp. 1798–1812.
- Abesamis RA, Russ GR, Alcala AC (2006) Gradients of abundance of fish across no-take marine reserve, *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, Volume 16, Issue 4*, pages 349–371, June 2006.
- Abesamis, R.A., Russ, G.R., Alcala, A.C.,(2006). Gradients of abundance of fish across no-take marine reserve boundaries: evidence from Philippine coral reefs. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 16, 349–371.
- Agardy T, Bridgewater P, Crosby MP, Day J, Dayton PK, Kenchington R, et al. (2009) Dangerous targets? Unresolved issues and ideological clashes around marine protected areas. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 2009;13:353–67.
- Agardy, T. (1994). Advances in marine conservation: the role of marine protected areas. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 9:267-270.
- Airame S, Dugan J, Lafferty KD, Leslie H, McArdle DA, Warner RR (2003) Applying ecological criteria to Marine Reserve design: A case study from the California Channel Islands. *Ecological Applications* 13:170-184.
- Alcala, A. C. (1998) Community-based coastal resource management in the Philippines: a case study. *Ocean and Coastal Management* 38:179–186.
- Alcala AC, Russ G, Maypa AP, Calumpong HP (2005) A long term spatially replicated experiment test of the effect of marine reserves on local fish yields. *Canadian Journal of Fish and Aquatic Sciences* 62:98-108.
- Allens Consulting (2009) *The economics of marine protected areas application of principles to Australia's south west region*.
- Allison GW, Gaines SD, Lubchenco J, Possingham HP (2003) Ensuring persistence of marine reserves: catastrophes require adopting an insurance factor. *Ecological Applications* 13:8-24.
- Allison, G. W., J. Lubchenco, and M. H. Carr. (1998). Marine reserves are necessary but not sufficient for marine conservation *Ecological Applications* 8:579–592.
- Ami D, Cartigny P, Rapaport A (2005) Can marine protected areas enhance both economic and biological situations? *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 328:357 - 366.
- Anon (2000) The Mediterranean: marine protected areas and the recovery of a large marine ecosystem. *Environmental Conservation* 27:95-97.
- ANZECC TFMPA (1998) *Guidelines for Establishing the National Representative System of Marine Protected Areas*. For Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council, Task Force on Marine Protected Areas. Environment Australia, Canberra.
- Apostolaki P, Milner-Gulland EJ, McAllister MK, Kirkwood GP (2002) Modelling the effects of establishing a marine reserve for mobile fish species. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 59:405-415.
- Ardron, J.A., Possingham, H.P., and Klein, C.J. (eds) (2008). *Marxan: Good Practices Handbook. External review version*; 17 May, 2008. Pacific Marine Analysis and Research Association, Vancouver, BC, Canada. 155 pages. www.pacmara.org.

- Ashworth JS, Ormond RFG, Sturrock HT (2004) Effects of reef-top gathering and fishing on invertebrate abundance across take and no-take zones. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 303:221-242.
- Ashworth, J.S., Ormond, R.F.G., (2005). Effects of fishing pressure and trophic group on abundance and spillover across boundaries of a no-take zone. *Biological Conservation* 121, 333–344.
- Attwood CG, Bennett BA (1995) Modelling the effect of marine reserves on the recreational shore-fishery of the south-western Cape, South Africa. *South African Journal of Marine Sciences*, 16: 227–240.
- Attwood CG, Mann BQ, Beaumont, J, Harris JM (1997) Review of the state of marine protected areas in South Africa. *South African Journal of Marine Sciences* 18:341–367.
- Auster PJ, Joy K, Valentine PC (2001) Fish Species and Community Distributions as Proxies for Seafloor Habitat Distributions: The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary Example (Northwest Atlantic, Gulf Of Maine). *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 60:331-346.
- Ayling, A.M. and Choat, J.H. (2008) *Abundance patterns of reef sharks and predatory fishes on differently zoned reefs in the offshore Townsville region: Final Report to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority*. Research Publication No. 91.
- Babcock RC, Kelly S, Shears NT, Walker JW, Willis TJ (1999) Changes in community structure in temperate marine reserves. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 189: 125-134.
- Babcock RC (2003) The New Zealand marine reserve experience: the science behind the politics. Pages 108-119 in P. Hutchings and D. Lunney, editors. *Conserving marine environments. Out of sight out of mind?* Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, Mossman, New South Wales.
- Babcock, R.C., J.C. Phillips, M. Lourey and G. Clapin (2007) Increased density, biomass and egg production in an unfished population of Western Rock Lobster (*Panulirus cygnus*) at Rottneest Island, Western Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research*. 58(3): 286-292.
- Baelde P (2005) Interactions between the implementation of marine protected areas and right-based fisheries management in Australia. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 12:9-18.
- Balmford A (1998) On hotspots and the use of indicators for reserve selection. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 13:409.
- Banks, SA, Skilleter, GA (2002) Mapping intertidal habitats and an evaluation of their conservation status in Queensland, Australia. *Ocean & Coastal Management* 45:485-509.
- Banks SA, Skilleter GA, Possingham HP (2005) Intertidal habitat conservation: identifying conservation targets in the absence of detailed biological information. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 15:271-288.
- Banks and Skilleter, G (2010) Implementing marine reserve networks: A comparison of approaches in New South Wales(Australia)and New Zealand *Marine Policy* 34 (2010) 197–207.
- Barrett, N., Buxton, C., Edgar, G (2009) Changes in invertebrate and macroalgal populations in Tasmanian marine reserves in the decade following protection, *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 370 (2009) 104-119.

- Barrett NS, Edgar GJ, Buxton CD, Haddon M (2007) Changes in fish assemblages following 10 years of protection in Tasmanian marine protected areas. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 345:141-157.
- Bax, NJ, Williams A (2001) Seabed habitat on the south-eastern Australian continental shelf: context, vulnerability and monitoring. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 52, 491-512.
- Beger M, Jones GP, Munday PL (2003) Conservation of coral reef biodiversity: a comparison of reserve selection procedures for corals and fishes. *Biological conservation* 111:53-62.
- Behrens MD, Lafferty KD (2004) Effects of marine reserves and urchin disease on southern Californian rocky reef communities. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 279: 129-139.
- Bell JD (1983) Effects of depth and marine reserve fishing restrictions on the structure of a rocky reef fish assemblage in the north-western Mediterranean Sea. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 20:357-369.
- Bellwood, D. R. (1988) Seasonal changes in the size and composition of the fish yield from reefs around Apo Island, central Philippines, with notes on methods of yield estimation. *Journal of Fish Biology* 32:881-893.
- Bennett BA, Attwood CG (1991) Evidence for recovery of a surf-zone fish assemblage following the establishment of a marine reserve on the southern coast of South Africa. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 75:173-181.
- Blanchard F, LeLoc'h F, Hily C, Boucher J (2004) Fishing effects on diversity, size and community structure of the benthic invertebrate and fish megafauna on the Bay of Biscay coast of France. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 280:249-260.
- Blyth RE, Kaiser MJ, Edwards-Jones G and Hart PJB (2004) Implications of a zoned fishery management system for marine benthic communities. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 41:951-961.
- Blyth RE, Kaiser MJ, Edwards-Jones G, Hart PJB (2002) Voluntary management in an inshore fishery has conservation benefits. *Environmental Conservation* 29:493-508.
- Blyth-Skryme RE, Kaiser MJ, Hiddink JG, Edwards-Jones G, Hart PJB (2006) Conservation Benefits of Temperate Marine Protected Areas: Variation among Fish Species. *Conservation Biology* 20:811- 820.
- Bohnsack JA (1998) Application of marine reserves to reef fisheries management. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 23:298-304.
- Botsford LW, Micheli F, Hastings A (2003) Principles for the design of marine reserves. *Ecological Applications* 13:25-31 3.
- Brazeiro A, Defeo O (1999) Effects of harvesting and density dependence on the demography of sandy beach populations: the yellow clam *Mesodesma mactroides* of Uruguay. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 182:127-135.
- Brown K, Adger WN, Tompkins E, Bacon P, Shim D and Young K (2001) Trade-off analysis for marine protected area management. *Ecological Economics* 37: 417-434.
- Butcher PA, Boulton AJ, Smith SDA (2002) Mud crab (*Scylla serrata*: Portunidae) populations as indicators of the effectiveness of estuarine marine protected areas. *World Congress on Aquatic Protected Areas Proceedings*: 421-427.

Buxton CD (1993) Life-history changes in exploited reef fishes on the east coast of South Africa. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 36:47-63.

Buxton CD, Smale MJ (1989) Abundance and distribution patterns of three temperate marine reef fish (Teleostei:Sparidae) in exploited and unexploited areas off the southern cape coast. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 26:441-451.

Campbell ML, Hewitt CL (2006) A hierarchical framework to aid biodiversity assessment for coastal zone management and marine protected area selection. *Ocean and Coastal Management* 49:133-146.

Cappo MC, MacNeil MA, Stowar MJ and Doherty PJ (2009a) The influence of zoning (closure to fishing) on fish communities of the deep shoals and reef bases of the southern Great Barrier Reef. Part 1 - Baited video surveys of the Pompey, Swain and Capricorn-Bunker groups of reefs off Mackay and Gladstone. MTSRF Research Report No. 37 ISBN 9781921359361. Reef and Rainforest Research Centre and Australian Institute of Marine Science. 53 p.

Cappo MC, De'ath AG, Stowar MJ, Johannson C and Doherty PJ (2009b) The influence of zoning (closure to fishing) on fish communities of the deep shoals and reef bases of the southern Great Barrier Reef. Part 2 - Development of protocols to improve accuracy in baited video techniques used to detect effects of zoning. MTSRF Research Report No. 38 ISBN 9781921359378. Reef and Rainforest Research Centre and Australian Institute of Marine Science. 44 p.

Cappo MC, Stowar MJ and MacNeil MA (2010) The influence of zoning (closure to fishing) on fish communities of the deep shoals and reef bases of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. Result of repeated surveys of the southern bank and Cardwell shoals, and an overview with regional comparisons. Reef and Rainforest Research Centre.

Carleton Ray G (1999) Coastal-marine protected areas: agonies of choice. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 9:607-614.

Carleton Ray G (2004) Reconsidering 'dangerous targets' for marine protected areas. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 14:211-215.

Carr, M.H., Neigel, J.E., Estes, J.A., Andelman, S., Warner, R.R. and Largier, J.L. (2003) Comparing marine and terrestrial ecosystems: implications for the design of coastal marine reserves. *Ecological Applications*, 13(1) Supplement, pp S90-S107.

Castilla JC (1999) Coastal Marine Communities: Trends and Perspectives from Human-Exclusion Experiments. *TREE* 14:280-283.

Castilla, J.C., Fernandez, M., 1998. Small-scale benthic fisheries in Chile: on co-management and sustainable use of benthic invertebrates. *Ecological Applications*. 8, S124– S132.

Castilla JC, Bustamante RH (1989) Human exclusion from rocky intertidal of Las Cruces, central Chile: effects on *Durvillaea antarctica* (Phaeophyta, Durvilliales). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 50:203- 214.

Chapman MR, Kramer DL (1999) Gradients in coral reef fish density and size across the Barbados Marine Reserve boundary: effects of reserve protection and habitat characteristics. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 181:81-96.

Chiappone M, Sluka R, Sealy KS (2000) Groupers (Pisces: Serranidae) in fished and protected areas of the Florida Keys, Bahamas and northern Caribbean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 198: 261-272.

- Chiappone M, White A, Swanson DW, Miller SL (2002) Occurrence and biological impacts of fishing gear and other marine debris in the Florida Keys. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 44:597-604.
- Cho L (2005) Marine protected areas: a tool for integrated coastal management in Belize. *Ocean and Coastal Management* 48: 932-947.
- Cinner, J. & Fuentes, M. (2008) Human Dimensions of Madagascar's Marine Protected Areas. CORDIO Status Report.
- Cinner, J.E., Wamukota, A., Randriamahazo, H. & Rabearisoa, A. (2009) Toward institutions for community-based management of inshore marine resources in the Western Indian Ocean. *Marine Policy*, **33**, 489-496.
- Cinner, J., McClanahan, T. & Wamukota, A. (2010) Differences in livelihoods, socioeconomic characteristics, and knowledge about the sea between fishers and non-fishers living near and far from marine parks on the Kenyan coast. *Marine Policy*, **34**, 22-28.
- Claudet J, Pelletier D (2004) Marine protected areas and artificial reefs: a review of the interactions between management and scientific studies. *Aquatic Living Resources* 17:129-138.
- Claudet, J., Pelletier, D., Jouvenel, J.Y., Bachet, F., Galzin, R., (2006). Assessing the effects of marine protected area (MPA) on a reef fish assemblage in a northwestern Mediterranean marine reserve: identifying community-based indicators. *Biological Conservation* 130, 349–369.
- Claudet, J., Osenberg, C.W., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Domenici, P., Garcia-Charton, J.A., Perez-Ruzafa, A., et al. (2008) Marine reserves: Size and age do matter. *Ecology Letters*, 1, 481–489.
- Claudet, J., Osenberg, C.W., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Domenici, P., García-Charton, J., Pérez-Ruzafa, Á., Badalamenti, F., Bayle-Sempere, J., Brito, A., Bulleri, F., Culioli, J., Dimech, M., Falcón, J.M., Guala, I., Milazzo, M., Sánchez-Meca, J., Somerfield, P.J., Stobart, B., Vandeperre, F., Valle, C. & Planes, S. (2008) Marine reserves: size and age do matter. *Ecology Letters*, 11, 481-489.
- Cole RG (1994) Abundance, size structure, and diver-oriented behaviour of three large benthic carnivorous fishes in a marine reserve in Northeastern New Zealand. *Biological Conservation* 70:93- 99.
- Cole RG, Ayling TM, Creese RG (1990) Effects of marine reserve protection at Goat Island, northern New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 24:197-210.
- Cole RG, Keuskamp D (1998) Indirect effects of protection from exploitation: patterns from populations of *Evechinus chloroticus* (Echinoidea) in northeastern New Zealand. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 173:215-226 4.
- Cole, R. G., E. Villouta & R. J. Davidson, (2000). Direct evidence of limited dispersal of the reef fish *Paraperis colias* (Pinguipedidae) within a marine reserve and adjacent fished areas. *Aquatic Conservation Marine Freshwater Ecosystem* 10: 421–436.
- Committee on the Evaluation, Design, and Monitoring of Marine Reserves and Protected Areas in the United States, Ocean Studies Board, National Research Council. *Marine Protected Areas: Tools for Sustaining Ocean Ecosystems* (Free Executive Summary) <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/9994.html>.
- Cowley PD, Brouwer SL, Tilney RL (2002) The role of the Tsitsikamma National Park in the management of four shore-angling fish along the south-eastern Cape Coast of South Africa. *South African Journal of Marine Science* 24:27-35.

- Creese B, Breen D (2003) Marine protected areas in New South Wales, Australia: challenges for research. pp 120-128 in *Conserving Marine Environments. Out of sight, out of mind*, edited by P.Hutchings and D. Lunney. Royal Zoological Society of NSW, Mosman, NSW.
- Curley BG, Kingsford MJ, Bronwyn MG (2002) Spatial and habitat-related patterns of temperate reef fish assemblages: implications for the design of Marine Protected Areas. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 53:1197-1210.
- Dalton TM (2004) An approach for integrating economic impact analysis into evaluation of potential marine protected area sites. *Journal of Environmental Management* 70:333-349.
- Dalton TM (2005) Beyond biogeography: a framework for involving the public in planning of US Marine Protected Areas. *Conservation Biology* 19:1392-1401.
- Daskalov GM (2002) Overfishing drives a trophic cascade in the Black Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 225:53-63.
- Davidson, R. J. (2001) Changes in population parameters and behaviour of blue cod, (*Parapercis colias*: Pinguipedidae) in Long Island—Kokomohua Marine Reserve, Marlborough Sounds, New Zealand. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 11:417–435.
- Day, J (2008) The need and practice of monitoring, evaluating and adapting marine planning and management—lessons from the Great Barrier Reef, *Marine Policy*, 2008, vol. 32, issue 5, pages 823-831.
- Day, V., Paxinos, R., Emmett, J., Wright, A., & Goecker, M. (2008). The Marine Planning Framework for South Australia: A new ecosystem-based zoning policy for marine management. *Marine Policy*, 32(4), 535-543.
- Dayton PK, Thrush SF, Agardy MT, Hofman RJ (1995) Environmental effects of marine fishing. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 5:205-232.
- Dayton, P. K., Sala, E., Tegner, M. J., and Thrush, S. (2000). Marine reserves: Parks, baselines, and fishery enhancement. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 66(3), 617-634.
- Denny CM, Babcock RC (2004) Do partial marine reserves protect reef fish assemblages? *Biological Conservation* 116:119-129.
- Denny CM, Willis TJ, Babcock RC (2004) Rapid recolonisation of snapper *Pagrus auratus*: Sparidae within an offshore island marine reserve after implementation of no-take status. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 272:183-190.
- Dinmore TA, Duplisea DE, Rackham BD, Maxwell DL, Jennings S (2003) Impact of a large-scale area closure on patterns of fishing disturbance and the consequences for benthic communities. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 60:371-380.
- Donohue MJ, Boland RC, Sramek CM, Antonelis GA (2000) Derelict Fishing Gear in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands: Diving Surveys and Debris Removal in 1999 Confirm Threat to Coral Reef Ecosystems. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 42:1301-1312.
- Drechsler M (2005) Probabilistic approaches to scheduling reserve selection. *Biological Conservation* 122:253-262.
- Dufour V, Jouvenel J, Galzin R (1995) Study of Mediterranean reef fish assemblage: Comparisons of

population distributions between depths in protected and unprotected areas over one decade. *Aquatic Living Resources* 8:17-25.

Dugan JE, Davis GE (1993) Applications of marine refugia to coastal fisheries management. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 50:2029-2042. *Ecological Applications* 15:1798-1181.

Edgar GJ, Barrett NS (1997) Short term monitoring of biotic change in Tasmanian marine reserves. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 213:261-279.

Edgar GJ, Barrett NS (1999) Effects of the declaration of marine reserves on Tasmanian reef fishes, invertebrates and plants. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 242:107-144.

Egli DP, Babcock RC (2004) Ultrasonic tracking reveals multiple behavioural modes of snapper (*Pagrus auratus*) in a temperate no-take marine reserve. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 61:1137-1143.

Evans RD, Russ GR (2004) Larger biomass of targeted reef fish in no-take marine reserves on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Aquatic Conservation-Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 14:505-519.

Fernandes, L., Day, J., Lewis, A. Slegers, S., Kerrigan, B., Breen, D., Cameron, D., Jago, B., Hall, J., Lowe, D., Innes, J., Tanzer, J., Chadwick, V., Thompson, L., Gorma, K., Simmons, M., Barnett, B., Sampson, K., De'Ath, GH., Mapstone, B., Marhs, M., Possingham, H., Ball, I., Ward, T., Dobbs, K., Aumend, J., Slater, D., and Stapleton, K (2005) Establishing representative no-take areas in the Great Barrier Reef. Large-scale implementation of theory on marine protected areas, *Conservation Biology* **19** (6) (2005), pp. 1733–1744.

Ferrier S (2002) Mapping spatial pattern in biodiversity for regional conservation planning: Where to from here? *Systematic Biology* 51:331-363.

Foley, MM., Micheli, F., Armsby, MH., et al. (2010). Guiding ecological principles for marine spatial planning. *Marine Policy*, 34(5), 955-966.

Francis J, Nilsson A, and Waruinge D (2002) Marine Protected Areas in the East African Region: How successful are they? *Ambio: A Journal of the Human Environment* 31: 503-511.

Francour P, Harmelin G.J, Pollard D, Sartoretto S (2001) A review of marine protected areas in the northwestern Mediterranean region: siting, usage, zonation and Management. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 11:155-188.

Friedlander AM, DeMartini EE (2002) Contrasts in density, size, and biomass of reef fishes between the northwestern and the main Hawaiian islands: the effects of fishing down apex predators. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 230:253-264.

Friedlander AM, Brown EK, Jokiel PL, Smith WR, Rodgers KS (2003) Effects of habitat, wave exposure, and marine protected area status on coral reef fish assemblages in the Hawaiian archipelago. *Coral Reefs* 22:291-305.

Freidlander A, Sladek Nowlis J, Sanchez JA, Appeldoorn R, Usseglio P, McCormick C, Bejarno S, Mitchell-Chui A (2003) Designing effective marine protected areas in Seaflower Biosphere Reserve, Colombia, based on biological and sociological information. *Conservation Biology* 17:1769-1784.

Gaines SD, Gaylord B, Largier JL (2003) Avoiding current oversights in marine reserve design. *Ecological Applications* 13:32-46.

Galal N (1999) *Studies on the coastal ecology and management of the Nabq Protected Area, South Sinai, Egypt*. Dphil Thesis, University of York, UK, 248 pp.

- Galal, N., R. F. G. Ormond & Hassan O. (2002) Effect of a network of no-take reserves in increasing catch per unit effort and stocks of exploited reef fish at Nabq. South Sinai, Egypt. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 53, 199–205.
- Garcia-Charton, A, Ody, D, Perez-Ruza, A, Renones, G, Sanchez-Jerez, P and Valle, C. (2008) Gradients of abundance and biomass across reserve boundaries in six Mediterranean marine protected areas: Evidence of fish spillover?, *Biological Conservation* 141, pp. 1829 –1839.
- Gell, F. R. & C. M. Roberts, (2002). The fishery effects of marine reserves and fishery closures. World Wildlife Fund-United States, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Gell, F.R. & Roberts,C.M.(2003).Benefits beyond boundaries: The fishery effects of marine reserves. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 18, 448–455.
- Gerber LR, Beger M, McCarthy MA, Possingham HP (2005) A theory for optimal monitoring of marine reserves. *Ecology Letters* 8:829-837.
- Gerber LR, Botsford LW, Hastings A, Possingham HP, Gaines SD, Palumbi SR, Andelman S (2003) Population models for marine reserve design: a retrospective and prospective synthesis. *Ecological Applications* 13: 47-64.
- Gerber LR, Kareivab PM, Bascompte J (2002) The influence of life history attributes and fishing pressure on the efficacy of marine reserves. *Biological Conservation* 106:11-18.
- GESAMP (1996) Systems design of protected areas National System Planning for Protected Areas, by Adrian G. Davey. *Best Practice Protected Area Guidelines Series No. 1*. IUCN, 1998.
- Gillanders BM (2002) Connectivity between juvenile and adult fish populations: do adults remain near their recruitment estuaries? *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 240:215-223.
- Gillanders BM, Able KW, Brown JA, Eggleston DB, Sheridan PF (2003) Evidence of connectivity between juvenile and adult habitats for mobile marine fauna: an important component of nurseries. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 247:281-295.
- Gladstone W (2002) The potential value of indicator groups in the selection of marine reserves. *Biological Conservation* 104:211-220.
- Gladstone W (2007) Requirements for marine protected areas to conserve the biodiversity of rocky reef fishes. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 17:71-87.
- Gladstone W, Alexander T (2005) A test of the higher-taxon approach in the identification of candidate sites for marine reserves. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 14:3151-3168.
- Gladstone W, Krupp K, Younis M (2003) Development and management of a network of marine protected areas in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden region. *Ocean and Coastal Management* 46:741-761.
- Goeden GB (1982) Intensive fishing and a "Keystone" predator species: ingredients for community instability. *Biological Conservation* 22:273-281.
- Goñi, R., Reñones, O. & Quetglas, A., (2001). Dynamics of a protected Western Mediterranean population of the European spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* (Fabricius, 1787) assessed by trap surveys. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 52(8): 1577–1587.

- Goni, R., A. Quetglas & O. Renones, (2006). Spillover of spiny lobsters *Palinurus elephas* from a marine reserve to an adjoining fishery. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 306: 207–219.
- Goñi R, Hilborn R, Díaz D, Mallol S, Adlerstein S (2010) Net contribution of spill over from a marine reserve to fishery catches. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 400:233–243.
- Grafton RQ, Kompas T (2005) Uncertainty and the active adaptive management of marine protected areas. *Marine Policy* 29:471-479.
- Grafton, R.Q., Kompas, T., Von Ha, P., (2006). The economic payoffs from marine reserves: resource rents in a stochastic environment. *Economic Record* 82, 469–480.
- Graham NAJ, Evans RD, Russ GR (2003) The effects of marine reserve protection on the trophic relationships of reef fishes on the Great Barrier Reef. *Environmental Conservation* 30:200-208.
- Grantham BA, Eckert GL, Shanks AL (2003) Dispersal potential of marine invertebrates in diverse habitats. *Ecological Applications*, 13(1) Supplement, pp108-116.
- Guenette S, Lauck T, Clarke C (1998) Marine reserves: from Beverton and Holt to the present. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 8:251-272.
- Guenette, S., Pitcher, T.J., (1999). An age-structured model showing the benefits of marine reserves in controlling overexploitation. *Fisheries Research* 39, 295–303.
- Guidetti, P., Milazzo, M., Bussotti, S., Molinari, A., Murenu, M., Pais, A., Spanò, N., Balzano, R., Agardy, T., Boero, F., Carrada, G., Cattaneo-Vietti, R., Cau, A., Chemello, R., Greco, S., Manganaro, A., Notarbartolo di Sciara, G., Russo, G.F. & Tunesi, L. (2008) Italian marine reserve effectiveness: Does enforcement matter? *Biological Conservation*, 141, 699-709.
- Guidetti P (2002) The importance of experimental design in detecting the effects of protection measures on fish in Mediterranean MPAs. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 12:619- 634.
- Guidetti P (2006) Marine reserves re-establish lost predatory interactions and cause community changes in rocky reefs. *Ecological Applications* 16:963-976.
- Guidetti, P., (2007). Potential of marine reserves to cause community-wide changes beyond their boundaries. *Conservation Biology* 21, 540–545.
- Guidetti P, Fanelli G, Fraschetti S, Terlizzi A, Boero F (2002) Coastal fish indicate human-induced changes in the Mediterranean littoral. *Marine Environmental Research* 53:77-94.
- Halpern BS, Warner RR (2002) Marine reserves have rapid and lasting effects. *Ecology Letters* 5: 361- 366.
- Halpern BS (2003) The impact of marine reserves: Do marine reserves work and does reserve size matter? *Ecological Applications* 3:117-137.
- Halpern, B.S., Gaines, S.D., Warner, R.R., (2004). Confounding effects of the export of production and the displacement of fishing effort from marine reserves. *Ecological Applications* 14, 1248–1256.
- Halpern BS, Regan HM, Possingham HP, McCarthy MA (2006) Accounting for uncertainty in marine reserve design. *Ecology Letters* 9:2-11.

- Halpern, B.S., Walbridge, S., Selkoe, K.A., Kappel, C.V., Micheli, F., D'Agrosa, C., Bruno, J.F., Casey, K.S., Ebert, C., Fox, H.E., Fujita, R., Heinemann, D., Lenihan, H.S., Madin, E.M.P., Perry, M.T., Selig, E.R., Spalding, M., Steneck, R. & Watson, R. (2008) A Global Map of Human Impact on Marine Ecosystems. *Science*, 319, 948-952.
- Harborne, A. R., P. J. Mumby, C. V. Kappel, C. P. Dahlgren, F. Micheli, K. E. Holmes, J. N. Sanchirico, K. Broad, I. A. Elliott, and D. R. Brumbaugh. (2008). Reserve effects and natural variation in coral reef communities. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 45: 1010-1018.
- Harborne, A.R., P.J. Mumby, C.V. Kappel, C.P. Dahlgren, F. Micheli, K.E. Holmes, and D.R. Brumbaugh. 2008a. Tropical coastal habitats as surrogates of fish community structure, grazing, and fisheries value. *Ecological Applications* 18: 1689-1701.
- Harmelin, J.G., Bachet, F., Garcia, F., (1995). Mediterranean marine reserves: fish indices as tests of protection efficiency. *PSZNI: Marine Ecology* 16, 233–250.
- Harmelin-Vivien, M., Le Direa, L., Bayle-Sempere, J., Charbonnel, E., Hussain, S., Winrow-Giffin, S., Moran, A., Robinson, D., Fofana, L., Paramor, A., Frid, O., and Chris L.J. (2010) An ex ante ecological economic assessment of the benefits arising from marine protected areas designation in the UK, *Ecological Economics*, 69, (4), 828-838.
- Hastings A, Botsford LW (2003) Comparing designs of marine reserves for fisheries and for biodiversity. *Ecological Applications*, 13(1) Supplement, pp65-70.
- Hawkins JP, Roberts CM (1993) Effects of recreational scuba diving on coral reefs: trampling on reef flat communities. *J Appl Ecol* 30: 25-30 .
- Hilborn, R., (2002). Marine reserves and fisheries management- Reply to C.M. Roberts. *Science* 295: 1233–1234.
- Hobday A, Punt AE, Smith DC (2005) Modelling the effects of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) on the southern rock lobster (*Jasus edwardsii*) fishery of Victoria, Australia. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 39:675-686.
- Hocking M, Stolton S, Dudley N (2000) *Evaluating effectiveness: A framework for assessing the management of protected areas*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. 121 pages..
- Holland, K. N., J. D. Peterson, and B. M. Wetherbee. (1993). Movements, distribution and growth rates of the white goatfish *Mulloides flavolineatus* in a fisheries conservation zone. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 52:982–992.
- Horwood JW, Nichols JH, Milligan S (1998) Evaluation of closed areas for fish stock conservation. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 35:893-903.
- Iacchi M, Robinson P, Miller KA (2005) Direct impacts of commercial and recreational fishing on spiny lobster, *Panulirus interruptus*, populations at Santa Catalina Island, California, United States. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 39:1201-1214.
- IUCN (1994) *1998 Protected area categories Guidelines for Protected Area Management Categories*, Best Practice Protected Area Guidelines Series No. 2.
- Jameson SC, Ammar MSA, Saadalla E, Mostafa HM, Riegl B (1999) A coral damage index and its application to diving sites in the Egyptian Red Sea. *Coral Reefs Special Issue on The Science of Coral Reef Management, Coral Reefs* 18(4):333-339.

- Jamieson GS, Levings CO (2001) Marine protected areas in Canada-implications for both conservation and fisheries management. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 58:138-156.
- Jentoft, S (2004) The Community in Fisheries Management in *Challenges, opportunities and Risks. Fisheries Development: The Institutional Challenge* (eds B. Hersoug, S Jentoft and P Degnbol) pp 93 – 129. Delft: Eburon press.
- Jentoft, S (2007) In the power of Power: The Understated Aspect of Fisheries management, *Human Organisation*, 66 (4): 426 – 437.
- Jentoft, S and Chunepagdee, R (2009) Fisheries and Coastal Governance as a wicked problem, *Marine Policy*, 33: 553 – 560.
- Jennings S, Kaiser MJ (1998) The effects of fishing on marine ecosystems. *Advances in Marine Biology* 34:201-351.
- Jennings S, Marshall SS, Polunin NVC (1996) Seychelles' marine protected areas: Comparative structure and status of reef fish communities. *Biological Conservation* 75:201-209.
- Jones, G. (2000). Outcomes-based evaluation of management for protected areas – a methodology for incorporating evaluation into management plans. In: Rana, D. and Edelman, E. (Eds). *The Design and Management of Forest Protected Areas*. WWF International, Gland, Switzerland
- Jones PJS (2002) Marine protected area strategies: issues, divergences and the search for middle ground. *Review in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 11:197-216.
- Jones, P (2007) Point of view: arguments for conventional fisheries management and against no-take marine-protected areas: only half of the story?, *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* **17**, 31–43.
- Jones, P (2009) Equity, justice and power issues raised by no-take marine protected area proposals *Marine Policy* 33 (2009) 759–765.
- Jones PJS, Burgess J (2005) Building partnership capacity for the collaborative management of marine protected areas in the UK: a preliminary analysis. *Journal of Environmental Management* 77:227- 243.
- Jones PJS & Carpenter A (2009) Crossing the divide: the challenges of designing an ecologically coherent and representative network of MPAs for the UK. *Marine Policy* 33(5), 737-743.
- Jordan A, Lawler M, Halley V, Barrett N (2005) Seabed habitat mapping in the Kent Group of islands and its role in Marine protected area planning. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 15:51-70.
- Jouvenel J, Pollard DA (2001) Some effects of marine reserve protection on the population structure of two spearfishing target-fish species, *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Moronidae) and *Sparus aurata* (Sparidae), in shallow inshore waters, along a rocky coast in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 11:1-9.
- Kaiser MJ (2005) Are marine protected areas a red herring or fisheries panacea? *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 62:1194-1199.
- Kaiser MJ, Austen MVC, Ojaveer H (2004) European biodiversity action plan for fisheries: issues for nontarget species. *Fisheries Research* 69:1-6.
- Kaiser MJ, Spence FE, Hart PJB (2000). Fishing-gear restrictions and conservation of benthic habitat complexity. *Conservation Biology*, 14(5):1512-1525.

- Kamukuru AT, Mgaya YD, Öhman MC (2004) Evaluating a marine protected area in a developing country: Mafia Island Marine Park, Tanzania. *Ocean and Coastal Management* 47:321-337.
- Kaunda-Arara B, Rose GA (2004) Effects of marine reef national parks on fishery CPUE in coastal Kenya. *Biological Conservation* 118:1-13.
- Kelleher G. (1999) *Guidelines for Marine Protected Areas*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. xxiv +107 pages.
- Kelleher, G., C. Bleakley, and S. Wells. (1995). *A global representative system of marine protected areas. Volumes 1–4*. Environment Department, The World Bank, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Kelly S, Scott D, MacDiarmid AB, Babcock RC (2000) Spiny lobster, *Jasus edwardsii*, recovery in New Zealand marine reserves. *Biological Conservation* 92:359-369.
- Kelly, S., D. Scott & A. B. MacDiarmid, (2002) The value of a spillover fishery for spiny lobsters around a marine reserve in New Zealand. *Coastal Management* 30: 153–166.
- Kelly, S. & A. B. MacDiarmid, (2003) Movements patterns of mature spiny lobsters, *Jasus edwardsii*, from a marine reserve. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwaters Research* 37: 149–158.
- Kenchington RA, Bleakley C. (1994) Identifying priorities for marine protected areas in the insular Pacific. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*; 29:3–9.
- King MC, Beazley KF (2005) Selecting focal species for marine protected area network planning in the Scotia-Fundy region of Atlantic Canada. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 15:367-385.
- Kittinger, J.N., Duin, K.N., Wilcox, B. (2010). Commercial fishing, conservation and compatibility in the northwestern Hawaiian Islands. *Marine Policy* 34:208-217.
- Kleczkowski M, Babcock RC, Clapin G (2008) Density and size of reef fishes in and around a temperate marine reserve *Marine and Freshwater Research* 59:165-176.
- Klein C, Chan A, Kircher L, Cundiff AJ, Gardner N, Hrovat Y, et al. Striking a balance between biodiversity conservation and socioeconomic viability in the design of marine protected areas. *Conservation Biology* 2007;22(3): 691–700.
- Kramer, D. L., and M. R. Chapman. (1999). Implications of fish home range size and relocation for marine reserve function. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 55:65–79.
- Kritzer JP (2004) Effects of noncompliance on the success of alternative designs of marine protected area networks for conservation and fisheries management. *Conservation Biology* 18:1021-1031.
- Langlois TJ, Ballantyne WJ (2005) Marine ecological research in New Zealand: Developing predictive models through the study of no-take marine reserves. *Conservation Biology* 19:1763-1770.
- Lauck T, Clark CW, Mangel M, Munro GR (1998) Implementing the precautionary principle in fisheries management through marine reserves. *Ecological Applications* 8:572-578.
- Leslie H (2005) A Synthesis of Marine Conservation Planning Approaches. *Conservation Biology* 19:1701-1713.

- Leslie H, Ruckelshaus R, Ball IR, Andelman S, Possingham HP (2003) Using siting algorithms in the design of marine reserve networks. *Ecological Applications* 13: 185-198.
- Ley J, Halliday I, Tobin A, Garrett R, Gribble N (2002) Ecosystem effects of fishing closures in mangrove estuaries of tropical Australia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 245:223-238.
- Lindholm J, Auster P, Valentine P (2004) Role of a large marine protected area for conserving landscape attributes of sand habitats on Georges Bank (NW Atlantic). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 269:61-68.
- Lindholm JB, Auster PJ, Ruth M, Kaufman L (2001) Modeling the effects of fishing and implications for design of marine protected areas: Juvenile fish responses to variations in seafloor habitat. *Conservation Biology* 15:424-437.
- Lubchenco J, Palumbi SR, Gaines SD, Andelman S (2003) Plugging a hole in the ocean: The emerging science of marine reserves. *Ecological Applications* 13.
- Lundquist CJ, Granek EF (2005) Strategies for Successful Marine Conservation: Integrating Socioeconomic, Political, and Scientific Factors. *Conservation Biology* 19:1771-1778.
- Lynch TP (2006) Incorporation of recreational fishing effort into design of marine protected areas. *Conservation Biology* 20(5):1466-1476.
- Malcolm HA, Gladstone W, Lindfield S, Wraith J, Lynch TP (2007) Spatial and temporal variation in reef fish assemblages of marine parks in New South Wales, Australia - baited video observations. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 350:277-290.
- Maliao RJ, Webb EL, Jensen KR (2004) A survey of stock of the donkey's ear abalone, *Haliotis asinina* in the Sagay Marine Reserve, Philippines: evaluating the effectiveness of marine protected area enforcement. *Fisheries Research* 66:343-353.
- Malvadkar, U. and A. Hastings. (2008) Persistence of mobile species in marine protected areas. *Fisheries Research* 91: 69-78.
- Manriquez PH, Carlos Castilla J (2001) Significance of marine protected areas in central Chile as seeding grounds for the gastropod *Concholepas concholepas*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 215:201-211.
- Mapstone B, Marsh H, Possingham H, Ball I, Ward T, Dobbs K, Aumend J, Slater D and Stapleton K (2005) Establishing Representative No-Take Areas in the Great Barrier Reef: Large-Scale Implementation of Theory on Marine Protected Areas. *Conservation Biology* 19:1733-1744.
- Margules CR, Pressey RL (2000) Systematic conservation planning. *Nature* 405:243-253.
- Margules CR, Nicholls AO, Pressey RL. (1988). Selecting networks of reserves to maximise biological diversity. *Biological Conservation* 43: 63-76.
- Martell S, Essington T, Lessard B, Kitchell J, Walters C, Boggs C (2005) Interactions of productivity, predation risk, and fishing effort in the efficacy of marine protected areas for the central pacific. *Canadian Journal of Fish and Aquatic Sciences* 62:1320-1336.
- Maypa AP, Russ GR, Alcala AC, Calumpong HP (2002) Long-term trends in yield and catch rates .of the coral reef fishery at Apo Island, central Philippines. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 53:207-213.

- McClanahan TR (1989) Kenyan coral reef-associated gastropod fauna: a comparison between protected and unprotected reefs. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 53:11-20.
- McClanahan TR (2000) Recovery of a coral reef keystone predator, *Balistapus undulatus*, in East African marine parks. *Biological Conservation* 94: 191-198.
- McClanahan, T.R., Shafir, S.H., (1990). Causes and consequences of sea urchin abundance and diversity in Kenyan coral reef lagoon. *Oecologia* 83, 362–370.
- McClanahan, T.R., Mutere, J.C., (1994). Coral and sea urchin assemblage structure and interrelationships in Kenyan coral reef lagoons. *Hydrobiologia* 286, 109–124.
- McClanahan, T. R., and B. Kaunda-Arara. (1996). Fishery recovery in a coral-reef marine park and its effect on the adjacent fishery. *Conservation Biology* **10**:1187–1199.
- McClanahan, T.R., Muthiga, N.A., Kamukuru, A.T., Machano, H., Kiambo, R.W., (1999). The effects of marine parks and fishing on coral reefs of northern Tanzania. *Biological Conservation*. 89, 161–182.
- McClanahan, T.R., Mangi, S., (2000). Spillover of exploitable fishes from a marine park and its effect on the adjacent fishery. *Ecological Applications*. 10, 1792– 1805.
- McClanahan TR, Davies J, Maina J (2005) Factors influencing resource users and managers' perceptions towards marine protected area management in Kenya. *Environmental Conservation* 32:42-49.
- McClanahan TR, Graham NAJ (2005) Recovery trajectories of coral reef fish assemblages within Kenyan marine protected areas. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 292:241-248.
- McClanahan TR, Maina J, Davies J (2005) Perceptions of resource users and managers towards fisheries management options in Kenyan coral reefs. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 12: 105- 112.
- McLeod, E, Salm, R, Green, A, and Almany J (2009). Designing marine protected area networks to address the impacts of climate change. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 7: 362–370.
- McNeill SE (1994) The selection and design of marine protected areas: Australia as a case study. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 3:586-605.
- McCook, L., Ayling, T., Cappo, M., Choat, H., Evans, R., De Freitas, D., Heupel, M., Hughes, T., Jones, G., Mapstone, B., Marsh, H., Mills, M., Molloy, F., Pitcher, CR., Pressey, R., Russ, G., Sutton, S., Sweatman, H., Tobin, R., Wachenfeld, D., and Williamson, D. (2011) Adaptive management of the Great Barrier Reef: A globally significant demonstration of the benefits of networks of marine reserves, *PNAS early (online edition)*
- Molloy, P Reynolds, J, Matthew, J, Gagea, J and Iago M, Lloret, J, de Sola, G, Souplet, A and Galzin, R (2002) Links between sex change and fish densities in marine protected areas *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 59:1215-1217.
- Molloy, P., Reynolds, J., Gage, M, Mosqueira, I and Cote, I (2008) Links between sex change and fish densities in marine protected areas, *Biological Conservation*, 187 –197.
- Morin Dalton T (2004) An approach for integrating economic impact analysis into the evaluation of potential marine protected area sites. *Journal of Environmental Management* 70: 333-349.
- Mosquera I, Cote IM, Jennings S, Reynolds JD (2000) Conservation benefits of marine reserves for fish

populations. *Animal Conservation* 4:321–332.

Mumby PJ, Harborne AR (2010) Marine Reserves Enhance the Recovery of Corals on Caribbean Reefs. *PLoS ONE* 5(1): e8657. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008657.

Murawski SA, Brown R, Lai H-L, Rago PJ, Hendrickson L (2000) Large-scale closed areas as a fishery management tool in temperate marine systems: the Georges Bank experiment. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 66:775-798.

Murawski SA, Wigley SE, Fogarty MJ, Rago PJ, Mountain DG (2005) Effort distribution and catch patterns adjacent to temperate MPAs. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 62:1150-1167.

Nardi K, Jones GP, Moran MJ, Cheng YW (2004) Contrasting effects of marine protected areas on the abundance of two exploited reef fishes at the sub-tropical Houtman Abrolhos Islands, Western Australia. *Environmental Conservation* 31:160-168.

NCEAS, National Centre for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, (2001) *Scientific Consensus Statement on Marine Reserves and Marine Protected Areas*. <http://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/>.

Noble, B (2000) Institutional criteria for co-management, *Marine Policy* Volume 24, Issue 1, January 2000, 69-77.

NRC [National Research Council (USA)]. (2001). *Marine protected areas: tools for sustaining ocean ecosystems*. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., USA.

Nurse-Bray and Rist, P (2009) Co- management and Protected Area Management: Achieving Continuum in a Contested Site, lessons from the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA). *Marine Policy*, Vol 33, (1) 118 – 127.

Nurse-Bray, M. (2009) A Guugu Yimmathir Bam Wii: Ngawiya and Girrbithi: Hunting, planning and management along the Great Barrier Reef, Australia, *Geoforum*, 40, 442 – 453.

Olsson P and C Folke. (2001). Local Ecological Knowledge and Institutional Dynamics for Ecosystem Management: A Study of Lake Racken Watershed ,Sweden. *Ecosystems* 4: 85-104.

Olsson P, C Folke, and F Berkes (2004). Adaptive co-management for building social-ecological resilience, *Environmental Management* 34:75-90.

Olsson, P., Folke, C., Hahn, T. (2004). Social-ecological transformations for ecosystem management: the development of adaptive co-management of a wetland landscape in southern Sweden. *Ecology and Society* 9(4): 2. [online] URL: <http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol9/iss4/art2>

Ostrom, E. (1990) *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*, Cambridge University Press.

Palumbi SR (2003) Population genetics, demographic connectivity, and the design of marine reserves. *Ecological Applications* 13(1) Supplement:146–158.

PARKS 8 (2) (1998) World Commission on Protected Areas (WCPA).

Parma, A and NCEAS Working Group on Population Management (1998) What can adaptive management do for our fish, forests, food, and biodiversity?, *Integrative Biology* 1 (16) (1998), p. 26.

Parsons DM, Babcock RC, Hankin RKS, Willis TJ, Aitken JP, O'Dor RK, Jackson GD (2003) Snapper *Pagrus auratus* (Sparidae) home range dynamics: acoustic tagging studies in a marine reserve. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 262: 253-265.

- Parsons DM, Eggleston DB (2006) Human and natural predators combine to alter behavior and reduce survival of Caribbean spiny lobster. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 334:196- 205.
- Pauly D, Christensen V, Guénette S, Pitcher TJ, Sumaila UR, Walters CJ, Watson R, Zeller D (2002) Towards sustainability in world fisheries. *Nature* 418: 689-695.
- Pearson, R.G., Munro, J.L., (1991). Growth, mortality and recruitment rates of giant clams, *Tridacna gigas* and *T. derasa*, at Michaelmas Reef, central Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Aust. J. Mar. Freshw. Res.* 42, 241–262.
- Piet GJ, Jennings S (2005) Response of potential fish community indicators to fishing. *Journal of Marine Science* 62:214-225.
- Pillans S, Ortiz JC, Pillans RD, Possingham H (2007) The impact of marine reserves on nekton diversity and community composition in subtropical eastern Australia. *Biological Conservation* 136:455-469.
- Pillans S, Pillans RD, Johnstone RW, Kraft PG, Haywood MDE, Possingham H (2005) Effects of marine reserve protection on the mud crab *Scylla serrata* in a sex-biased fishery in subtropical Australia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 295:201-213.
- Polunin NVC, Roberts CM (1993) Greater biomass and value of target coral-reef fishes in two small Caribbean marine reserves. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 100:167-176.
- Pomeroy R, Parks J, Watson L. (2004) *How is your MPA doing? A guidebook of natural and social indicators for evaluating marine protected area management effectiveness*. Gland, Switzerland/Cambridge: IUCN.
- Pomeroy, RS, Watson, LM, Parks JE, Cid, GA (2005) How is your MPA doing? A methodology for evaluating the management effectiveness of marine protected areas. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 48:485-502.
- Pressey RL (2004) Conservation planning and biodiversity: Assembling the best data for the job. *Conservation Biology* 18:1677-1681.
- Pressey RL, Cowling RM (2001) Reserve selection algorithms and the real world. *Conservation Biology* 15:275-277.
- Pressey RL, Cabeza M, Watts ME, Cowling RM, Wilson KA (2007) Conservation planning in a changing world. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 22:583-592.
- Rakitin A, Kramer DL (1996) Effect of a marine reserve on the distribution of coral reef fishes in Barbados. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 131:97-113.
- Rauschmayer, F., Wittmer, H. & Berghöfer, A. (2008). Institutional challenges for resolving conflicts between fisheries and endangered species conservation. *Marine Marine Ecology* 8, 263–284.
- Ray GC (2004) Reconsidering 'dangerous targets' for marine protected areas. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 14:211-215.
- Rees, S., Attrill, M.J., Austen, M.C., Mangi, S.C., Richards, J. and Rodwell, L.D. (2010): Is there a win-win scenario for marine nature conservation? A case study of Lyme Bay, England. *Ocean and Coastal Management*. Volume 53, Issue 3, March 2010, Pages 135-145.
- Rice, M.A., Hickox, C., Zehra, I., (1989). Effects of intensive fishing effort on the population structure of Quahogs,- *Mercenaria mercenaria* (Linnaeus 1758), in Narrangansett Bay. *Journal of Shellfish Research*. 8, 345–354.

- Richards, A.H., Bell, L.J., Bell, J.D., (1994). Inshore fisheries resources of Solomon Islands. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 29, 90– 98.
- Rijnsdorp AD, Buys AM, Storbeck F, Visser EG (1998) Micro-scale distribution of beam trawl effort in the southern North Sea between 1993 and 1996 in relation to the trawling frequency of the sea bed and the impact on benthic organisms. *Journal of Marine Science* 55:403-419.
- Roberts, C. M. (1995) Rapid build-up of fish biomass in a Caribbean marine reserve. *Conservation Biology* 9:815-826.
- Roberts CM (1995) Effects of Fishing on the Ecosystem structure of Coral Reefs. *Conservation Biology* 9:988-995.
- Roberts, C.M., (1998) Sources, sinks, and the design of marine reserve networks. *Fisheries* 23, 16– 19.
- Roberts CM (2003) Ecological criteria for evaluating candidate sites for marine reserves. *Ecological Applications* 13:199-214.
- Roberts, C. M. & N. V. C. Polunin, (1991). Are marine reserves effective in management of reef fisheries? *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 1: 65–91.
- Roberts, C. M. & N. V. C. Polunin, (1993). Effects of marine reserve protection on Northern Red Sea fish populations. *Proceedings of the 7th International Coral Reef Symposium* 2: 979–987.
- Roberts, C.M., Hawkins, J.P., (2000). *Fully-protected marine reserves: a guide*. WWF Endangered Seas Campaign, 1250 24th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037, USA, and Environment Department, University of York, York, UK.
- Roberts, C.M., B. Halpern, S.R. Palumbi and R.R. Warner. (2001) Designing Networks of Marine Reserves: Why Small, Isolated Protected Areas Are Not Enough. *Conservation Biology in Practice* 2(3), 10-17.
- Roberts CM, Bohnsack JA, Gell F, Hawkins JP, Goodridge R (2001) Effects of marine reserves on adjacent fisheries. *Science* 294: 1920-1923.
- Roberts, C.M., J.A. Bohnsack, F.R. Gell, J.P. Hawkins and R. Goodridge. (2001). Effects of marine reserves on adjacent fisheries. *Science*, 294: 1920-1923.
- Roberts CM, Andelman S, Branch G, Bustamante RH, Castilla JS, Dugan J, Halpern BS, Lafferty KD, Leslie H, Lubchenco J, McArdle D, Possingham HP, Ruckelshaus M, Warner RR (2003) Application of ecological criteria in selecting marine reserves and developing reserves networks. *Ecological Applications* 13:215-228.
- Robins CM, Wang Y, Die D (1998) The impact of global positioning systems and plotters on fishing power in the northern prawn fishery, Australia. *Canadian Journal of Fish and Aquatic Sciences* 55:1645- 1651.
- Rochet MJ (1998) Short-term effects of fishing on life history traits of fishes. *Journal of Marine Science* 55:371-391.
- Rodrigues ASL, Gastin KJ (2002) Optimisation in reserve selection procedures-why not? *Biological Conservation* 107:123-129.
- Rodwell LD, Barbier EB, Roberts CA, McClanahan TR (2003) The importance of habitat quality for marine reserve - fishery linkages. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 60:171-181.

- Roff JC (2005) Conservation of marine biodiversity: too much diversity, too little co-operation. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 15:1-5.
- Roff JC, Taylor ME (2000) National frameworks for marine conservation - a hierarchical geophysical approach. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 10:209-223.
- Rogers CS, Beets J (2001) Degradation of marine ecosystems and decline of fishery resources in marine protected areas in the US Virgin Islands. *Environmental Conservation* 28:312-322.
- Rogers-Bennett L, Pearse JS (2001) Indirect benefits of marine protected areas for juvenile abalone. *Conservation Biology* 15:642-647.
- Rowley RJ (1994) Case Studies and Reviews- Marine Reserves in fisheries management. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 4:233-254.
- Russ, G.R.,(2002). Yet another review of marine reserves as reef fishery management tools. In: Sale, P.F. (Ed.), *Coral Reef Fishes: Dynamics and Diversity in a Complex Ecosystem*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 421– 443.
- Russ G, Alcala AC (1996) Do marine reserves export adult fish biomass? Evidence from Apo Island, central Philippines. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 132:1-9.
- Russ G, Alcala AC (1996a) Marine Reserves: Rates and Patterns of Recovery and Decline of Large Predatory Fish. *Ecological Applications* 6:947-961.
- Russ GR, Alcala AC (1998) Effects of intense fishing pressure on an assemblage of coral reef fishes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 56:13-27.
- Russ G, Alcala AC, Maypa AP (2003) Spillover from marine reserves: the case of *Naso vlamingii* at Apo Island, the Philippines. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 264:15-20.
- Russ G, Alcala AC, Maypa AP, Calumpong HP, White A (2004) Marine reserve benefits local fisheries. *Ecological Applications* 14:597-606.
- Russ G, Stockwell B, Alcala AC (2005) Inferring versus measuring rates of recovery in no-take reserves. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 292:1-12.
- Russ GR, Alcala AC (2004a) Marine reserves: long-term protection is required for full recovery of predatory fish populations. *Oecologia* 138:1432-1939.
- Russ GR, Lou DC, Ferreira BP (1995) *A long-term study on population structure of coral trout on reefs open and closed to fishing in the central Great Barrier Reef*. In. CRC Reef Research Centre, Townsville Australia, p 30 pages.
- Russell M (1997) Management implications learnt from closing and re-opening a coral reef to fishing. *Reef Research*:10-11.
- Ruttenberg, B.I., (2001). Effects of artisanal fishing on marine communities in the Galapagos Islands. *Conservation Biology*. 15, 1691– 1699.
- Sala E et al. (1998) Temporal variability in abundance of the sea urchins *Paracentrotus lividus* and *Arbacia lixula* in the northwestern Mediterranean: comparison between a marine reserve and an unprotected area. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 168:135-145.

- Sala E, Aburto-Oropeza O, Paredes G, Thompson G (2003) Spawning aggregations and reproductive behaviour of reef fishes in the Gulf of California. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 72: 103-121.
- Sale PF et al. (2005) Critical science gaps impede use of no-take fishery reserves. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 20:74-80.
- Sanchez Lisazo, J. L., R. Goni, O. Renones, J. A. Garcia Charton, R. Galzin, J. T. Bayle, P. Sanchez Jerez, A. Perez Ruzafa & A. A. Ramos, (2000). Density dependence in marine protected populations: a review. *Environmental conservation* 27(2): 144–158.
- Sanchirico, J., Cochran, K and Emerson, P (2002) *Marine Protected Areas: Economic and Social Implications*, Discussion Paper 02–26 Resources for the Future, US.
- Sarker S, Justus J, Fuller T, Kelley C, Garson J, Mayfield M (2005) Effectiveness of Environmental Surrogates for the Selection of Conservation Area Networks. *Conservation Biology* 19:815-825.
- Scholz A, Bonzon K, Fujita R, Benjamin N, Woodling N, Black P, Steinback C. 2004. Participatory socioeconomic analysis: drawing on fishermen's knowledge for marine protected area planning in California. *Marine Policy* 28: 335–349.
- Schratzberger M, Jennings S (2002) Impacts of chronic trawling disturbance on meiofaunal communities. *Marine Biology* 141:991-1000.
- Shears NT, Babcock RC (2002) Marine reserves demonstrate top-down control of community structure on temperate reefs. *Oecologia* 132:131-142.
- Shears NT, Babcock RC (2003) Continuing trophic cascade effects after 25 years of no-take marine reserve protection. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 246:1-16.
- Shears NT, Graceb RV, Usmara NR., Kerrb V, Babcock RC (2006) Long-term trends in lobster populations in a partially protected vs. no-take Marine Park on the abundance of spiny lobster *Jasus edwardsii*. *Biological Conservation* 132, 222 -231.
- Shipp RL (2003) A perspective on marine reserves as a fishery management tool. *Fisheries* 28:10-21.
- Sobel J. (1993) Conserving biological diversity through marine protected areas: a global challenge. *Oceanus* 36(3): 19–26.
- Steele JH, Beet AR (2003) *Marine protected areas in 'nonlinear' ecosystems*. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B: Biological Sciences 270(Supplement):230-233.
- Stefansson G, Walters C (2004) When can marine reserves improve fisheries management? *Ocean and Coastal management* 47:197-205.
- Stem C, Margoluis R, Salafsky N, Brown M (2005) Monitoring and Evaluation in Conservation: a review of trends and approaches. *Conservation Biology* 19:295-309.
- Stevens T (2002) Rigor and representativeness in marine protected area design. *Coastal Management* 30:237-248.
- Stevens T, Connolly RM (2005) Local-scale mapping of benthic habitats to assess representation in a marine protected area. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 56:111-123.
- Stewart R, Noyce T, Possingham H (2003) Opportunity cost of ad hoc marine reserve design decisions: an example from South Australia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 253:25-38.

- Stelzenmuller, V., Maynou, F., Martin, P., (2007). Spatial assessment of benefits of a coastal Mediterranean marine protected area. *Biological Conservation* 136, 571–583.
- Stobutzki I, Jones P, Miller M (2003) A comparison of fish bycatch communities between areas open and closed to prawn trawling in an Australian tropical fishery. *Journal of Marine Science* 60:951-966.
- Stoner AW, Ray M (1996) Queen conch, *Strombus gigas*, in fished and unfished locations of the Bahamas: Effects of a marine fishery reserve on adults, juveniles, and larval production. *Fish Bull* 94: 551-565.
- Stowar, M., De'ath, G., Doherty, P., Johansson, C., Speare, P. and Venables, B. (2008) Influence of zoning on midshelf shoals of the southern Great Barrier Reef. Report to the Marine and Tropical Sciences Research Facility. Reef and Rainforest Research Centre Limited, Cairns (106pp.).
- Stump N (2005) Marine protected areas in Australia: towards a coordinated rock lobster industry position. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 39:765-774.
- Sumaila UR (1998) Protected marine reserves as fisheries management tools: a bioeconomic analysis. *Fisheries Research* 37:287-296.
- Sumaila UR, Gue'nette S, Alder J, Chuenpagdee R (2000) Addressing ecosystem effects of fishing using marine protected areas. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 57: 752-760.
- Sweatman, H., Cheal, A.J., Coleman, G.J., Emslie, M.J., Johns, K., Jonker, M., Miller, I.R. & Osborne, K. 2008, *Long-term monitoring of the Great Barrier Reef: status report*, Australian Institute of Marine Science 8, Townsville, Australia.
- Tawake AJP, Radikedike P, Aalbersberg B, Vuki V, Salafsky N (2001) Harvesting clams and data involving local communities in monitoring: A case in Fiji. *Conservation In Practice* 2: 32-35.
- Tegner MJ, Dayton PK (2000) Ecosystem effects of fishing in kelp forest communities. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 57:579-589.
- Terlizzi A, Delos AL, Garaventa F, Faimali M, Geraci S (2004) Limited effectiveness of marine protected areas: imposex in *Hexaplex trunculus* (Gastropoda, Muricidae) populations from Italian marine reserves. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 48:164-192.
- Tewfik A, Beme C (2003) Effects of natural barriers on the spillover of a marine mollusc: implications for fisheries reserves. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 13:473-488.
- Thrush SF et al. (2001) Fishing disturbance and marine biodiversity: the role of habitat structure in simple soft-sediment systems. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 223:227-286.
- Tuck GN, Possingham H. (2000) Marine protected areas for spatially structured exploited stocks. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 192.
- Tundi Agardy M (1994) Advances in marine conservation: the role of marine protected areas. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 9:267-270.
- Tunesi L, Diviacco G (1993) Environmental and socio-economic criteria for the establishment of marine coastal parks. *International Journal of Environmental Studies* 43:253-259.
- Tupper M, Rudd MA (2002) Species-specific impacts of a small marine reserve on reef fish production and

fishing productivity in the Turks and Caicos Islands. *Environmental Conservation* 29:484-492.

Turpie JK, Beckley LE, Katua SM (2000) Biogeography and the selection of priority areas for conservation of South African coastal fishes. *Biological Conservation* 92:59-72.

Tuya, F.C., Soboil, M.L., Kido, J., (2000) An assessment of the effectiveness of Marine Protected Areas in the San Juan Islands, Washington, USA. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*. 57, 1218–1226.

Wallace, S.S., (1999) Evaluating the effects of three forms of marine reserve on northern abalone populations in British Columbia, Canada. *Conservation Biology* 13, 882– 887.

Wantiez L, Thollot P, Kulbicki M (1997) Effects of marine reserves on coral reef fish communities from five islands in New Caledonia. *Coral reefs* 16:215-224.

Ward TJ, Heinemann D, Evans N (2001) *The Role of Marine Reserves as Fisheries Management Tools: A Review of Concepts, Evidence and International Experience*. Bureau of Rural Sciences, Canberra, Australia, 192pp.

Ward TJ (2002) Giving up fishing ground to reserves: the costs and benefits. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Aquatic Protected Areas*:19-29.

Ward TJ, Vanderklift MA, Nicholls AO, Kenchington RA (1999) Selecting marine reserves using habitats and species assemblages as surrogates for biological diversity. *Ecological Applications* 9(2): 691- 698.

Warman LD, Sinclair ARE, Scuder GGE, Klinkenberg B, Pressey RL (2004) Sensitivity about systematic reserve selection to decisions about scale, biological data and targets: case study from southern British Columbia. *Conservation Biology* 18:655-666.

Watson, M., Ormond, R.F.G., (1994). Effect of an artisanal fishery on the fish and urchin populations of a Kenyan coral reef. *Marine Ecological Progress Series*. 109, 115–129.

Wescott G (2006) The long and winding road: The development of a comprehensive, adequate and representative system of highly protected marine protected areas in Victoria, Australia. *Ocean & Coastal Management* 49:905–922.

Westera M, Lavery P, Hyndes G (2003) Differences in recreationally targeted fishes between protected and fished areas of a coral reef marine park. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 294:145-168.

White, A. T., and G. C. Savina. 1987. Reef fish yield and non-reef catch of Apo Island, Negros, Philippines. *Asian Marine Biology* 4:67–76.

White, A. T., C. A. Courtney, and A. Salamanca. (2002) Experience with marine protected area planning and management in the Philippines. *Coastal Management* 30:1–26.

Wielgus, J., Ballantyne IV, F., Sala, E., Gerber, L.R., (2007) Viability analysis of reef fish populations based on limited demographic information. **Conservation Biology** 21, 447–454.

Wielgus, J., Chadwick-Furman, N.E., Zeitouni, N., Shechter, M., (2003) Effects of coral reef attribute damage on recreational welfare. *Marine Resource Economics* 18, 225–237.

Wielgus, J., Sala, E and Gerber, L (2008) Assessing the ecological and economic benefits of a no-take marine reserve *Ecological Economics*, 67, pp 32 – 40.

Williamson DH, Russ G, Ayling AM (2004) No-take marine reserves increase abundance and biomass of reef fish on inshore fringing reefs of the Great Barrier Reef. *Environmental Conservation* 31:149-159.

Willis TJ, Millar RB (2005) Using marine reserves to estimate fish mortality. *Ecology Letters* 8:47-52.

Willis TJ, Millar RB, Babcock RC (2003) Protection of exploited fish in temperate regions: high density and biomass of snapper *Pagrus auratus* (Sparidae) in northern New Zealand marine reserves. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 44:214-227.

Willis TJ, Millar RB, Babcock RC, Tolimieri (2003) Burdens of evidence and the benefits of marine reserves: putting Descartes before des horse? *Environmental Conservation* 30:97-103.

Winberg PC, Lynch TP, Murray A, Jones A, Davis A (2007) The importance of spatial scale for the conservation of tidal flat macrobenthos: An example from New South Wales, Australia. *Biological Conservation* 134:310-320.

Zeller D, Russ G (2000) Population estimates and size structure of *Plectropomus leopardus* (Pisces: Serranidae) in relation to no-fishing zones: mark-release-resighting and underwater visual census. *Marine & Freshwater Research* 51:221-228.

Zeller D, Stoute SL, Russ G (2003) Movements of reef fish across marine reserve boundaries: effects of manipulating a density gradient. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 254:269-280.